1982 PROVINCIAL PARK USER SURVEY

ANALYSIS OF DATA

Ministry of Natural Resources
Outdoor Recreation Group
Parks & Recreational Areas Branch

July, 1984

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iii.
1. Introduction	1
2. 1982 Day Use Summary	2
3. 1982 Camper Summary	14
4. Individual Parks, Comparative Analysis, Summary	29
Appendix A: 1982 Provincial Park Day User Survey Questionnaire	127
Appendix B: 1982 Provincial Park Camper Survey Questionnaire	131

List of Tables

Table		Page
1.1	Comparative Data, Day Use - 1974 and 1982 for Arrowhead, Carillon, Kakabeka Falls, Rondeau	56
1.2	Comparative Data, Day Use - 1975 and 1982 for Killbear, Wheatley	62
1.3	Comparative Data, Day Use - 1976 and 1982 for Balsam Lake, Darlington, Long Point	66
1.4	Comparative Data, Day Use - 1978 and 1982 for Charleston Lake	75
1.5	Comparative Data, Day Use - 1979 and 1982 for Neys	80
2.1	Comparative Data, Camper - 1975 and 1982 for Arrowhead, Killbear, Carillon, Kakabeka Falls, Rondeau, Wheatley	83
2.2	Comparative Data, Camper - 1976 and 1982 for Balsam Lake, Darlington, White Lake, Greenwater, Sauble Falls, Long Point	88
2.3	Comparative Data, Camper - 1977 and 1982 for Obatanga, Wakami Lake, Ipperwash	98
2.4	Comparative Data, Camper - 1978 and 1982 for Charleston Lake, Caliper Lake, Lake Nipigon	110
2.5	Comparative Data, Camper - 1979 and 1982 for Neys, Finlayson Point	122

List of Figures

Figure		Page
1.	Characteristics of Park Users, 1982 Day Use	5
2.	Days in Park during 1981, 1982 Day Use	6
3.	Time Spent in Park, 1982 Day Use	6
4.	Location of Permanent Residence, Southern Ontario, 1982 Day Use	7
5.	Location of Permanent Residence, Northern Ontario, 1982 Day Use	8
6.	Participation Rates for Selected Recreational Activities, 1982 Day Use	9
7.	Participation in Off-Season Activities, 1982 Day Use	10
8.	Reason for Choosing Park, 1982 Day Use	11
9.	Reason for Enjoying Park Visit, 1982 Day Use	12
10.	Recommended Improvements, 1982 Day Use	13
11.	Characteristics of Park Campers, 1982 Camper	17
12.	Extent of Park Usage, 1982 Camper	18
13.	Effect of Gas Price increases on Park Use, 1982 Camper	19
14.	Location of Permanent Residence, Southern Ontario 1982 Camper	20
15.	Location of Permanent Residence, Northern Ontario 1982 Camper	21
16.	Participation Rates for Selected Recreational Activities 1982 Camper	22
17.	Participation in Off-Season Activities, 1982 Camper	23
18.	Off-Season Activities to encourage use, 1982 Camper	24
19.	Reason for Choosing Park, 1982 Camper	25
20.	Reason for Enjoyment of Visit, 1982 Camper	26
21.	Recommended Improvements, 1982 Camper	27
22.	Type of Equipment Used, 1982 Camper	28

PROVINCIAL PARK USER SURVEY - 1982

ANALYSIS OF DATA

1) Introduction:

The User Survey Program was established in 1974 to provide current information for a variety of planning, research and operational/management functions. The program operates on a cyclical pattern during which time eligible parks are surveyed once. The program is now into its second cycle.

The information presented in this report compares the data collected during the 1982 park operating season with the data collected from the same parks during a previous survey. The database is now sufficient to provide a reliable record of trends and patterns of park users on a provincial and regional basis.

In order to facilitate understanding of the raw data collected from the 1982 user surveys, a series of written descriptions and graphs were completed using the overall percentage figures, providing a general state of parks surveyed for this year (not for entire park system).

As well, a general comparison was made for the individual parks surveyed in 1982 with the data obtained from the previous parks survey.

Questions contained in the survey obtained information on the characteristics of campers and day users including: park user characteristics, extent of park use, travel data, activity participation, expenditures, type of equipment used and why users chose the park. In addition, a number of park-specific questions were added to investigate particular issues or concerns of the park manager.

2. 1982 DAY USER SUMMARY

2. 1982 DAY USER SUMMARY

Characteristics of Park Users (Figure 1) (Survey Question #1, #2, #3)

- over 55% of day use parties were of the family type, followed by 25% couples and 14% groups of friends
- the average party size was 4.8, with the largest number of people coming in groups of 2 & 4.
- about 2/3 of the day users visiting the parks were divided evenly into 2 age groups: 1-14 and 25-44.

Park Use (Figure 2, 3) (Survey Question #4, #8, #13)

- just over 1/2 of the people were previous visitors to the surveyed park. Of these people, almost 2/3 had visited the previous year (1981) and spent about 6.8 days.
- the average time spent in the parks this year was 4 hours.
- of the day users, approximately 2/3 of the people surveyed indicated that the higher gas prices did not influence their trip.

Day Use Origin (Figure 4, 5) (Survey Question #6)

- the majority of day users were from the Metro Toronto, Thunder Bay and Kent counties with very few from outside the province (note, this is only for the parks surveyed in 1982, not the entire park system).

Activity Participation (Figure 6, 7) (Survey Question #9, #14)

- picnicking was the most popular day use activity, followed by swimming and relaxing/sunbathing.
- staff organized activities were very low in participation, most less than 2%.
- during the off-season, cross-country skiing was the most popular activity followed by walking and hiking.
- cross-country skiing was the major activity that would lead park visitors to use the park more in the off-season (45%). Fishing was the next highest at 7.5%.

Park Perception (Figure 8, 9, 10) (Survey Question #5, #15, #16)

- 28% of the day visitors chose to visit the parks because of the close proximity to their residence. Other major reasons were because of the natural setting and the recreational opportunities and facilities offered by the parks.

- a high percentage (28%) of people enjoyed their visit to the park because of the quietness and natural setting. The weather was also an influencing factor.

- when asked what improvements could be done to the park to make their visit more enjoyable, 25% of the day users indicated to leave the park as is, 12% had concerns with the beach and swimming areas, 8% wanted more recreational programs and another 8% indicated an improvement in sanitation.

Expenditure (Survey Question #10)

- the total average party expenditures for day users was approximately $140.00. Of this amount, 31% of the expenditure was within 25 miles of the park with the remaining 69% divided equally between home/en route and return trip.

- it should be noted that the average expenditures for the northern parks were considerably higher than southern parks. It can be assumed the reason for this is the longer distances travelled and the day use parks were one of a number of stops en route.

FIGURE 1: CHARACTERISTICS OF PARK USERS, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 2: DAYS IN PARK DURING 1981, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS
1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 3: TIME SPENT IN PARK, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS,
1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE : LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE,
SOUTHERN ONTARIO,
ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS,
1982 DAY USE PARTIES





IGURE 5: LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE,
NORTHERN ONTARIO,
ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS,
1982 DAY USE PARTIES

FIGURE 6: PARTICIPATION RATES FOR SELECTED RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 7: PARTICIPATION IN OFF-SEASON ACTIVITIES, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 8: REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 9: REASON FOR ENJOYING PARK VISIT, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



FIGURE 10 RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 DAY USE PARTIES



3. 1982 CAMPER SUMMARY

3. 1982 Camper Summary

Characteristics of Campers (Figure 11) (Survey Question #1, #2, #3)

- more than half of the camper parties surveyed were of the family type, with slightly over 35% couples and groups of friends about 10%.

- the average party size was 3.5 with the largest number of people coming in groups of 2 and 4.

- most campers fell into the 25-44 age category (37%) followed by 1-14 (27%). Senior citizen use was the lowest at 5%.

Park Use (Figure 12, 13) (Survey Question #4, #7, #16)

- just under 2/3 of the visitors had not previously visited the park. Of the remaining 1/3, about half had visited in 1981, staying an average of 7.8 nights.

- the average length of stay was 3 nights.

- of the campers surveyed, 62% indicated that the higher gas prices did not influence their trip.

Camper Origin (Figure 14, 15) (Survey Question #6)

- of the parks surveyed, the majority of campers were from Metro Toronto (9%), as well, Americans from border states were reasonably high users (note, this is only for the parks surveyed in 1982, not the entire park system).

Activity Participation (Figure 16, 17, 18) (Survey Question #11, #17)

- the most popular activity was relaxing, followed by walking and swimming.

- staff organized activities were quite low in participation, most less than 10%.

- during the off-season, cross-country skiing and hiking were the most popular off-season uses, followed by walking and fishing.

- cross-country skiing and fishing were the major activities that would lead park campers to use the park more in the off-season.

Park Perception (Figure 19, 20, 21)(Survey Question #5,#18. #19)

- 17% of the campers chose to use the parks because of the close proximity to their residence, followed closely by the good facilities and the convenience of the park as stopping points.

- the majority of people enjoyed their visit to the park because of the quietness and natural setting. Another major reason was the quality and type of campsites available.

- a high percentage (16%) of campers would like showers added to the park to enhance their visit, followed by improvements to the sanitation and other facilities. About 8% indicated to leave as is.

Expenditure (Survey Question #12)

- the total average party expenditures while camping at each park was approximately $314.00. Of this amount, 25% of the expenditure was within 25 miles of the park, with the remaining 75% divided equally between home/enroute and return trip.

- it should be noted that the average expenditures for the northern parks were somewhat higher than southern parks. It can be assumed the reason for this is the longer distances travelled, the northern parks were one of a number of stops en route and generally longer stays.

Equipment (Figure 22) (Survey Question #13)

- the tent was the most frequently used of the accommodation type equipment (44%) followed by tent trailer (23%) and travel trailer (15%).

- 60% of the camper groups surveyed indicated they used a stove on their trip.

FIGURE 11 CHARACTERISTICS OF PARK CAMPERS, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982, CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE 12 EXTENT OF PARK USAGE, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES





FIGURE 13 EFFECT OF GAS PRICE INCREASES ON PARK USE, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES




FIGURE 14: LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE, SOUTHERN ONTARIO ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES
0-1.9
2-3.9
4-5.9
6-7.9
8-9.9
Percentage of Camping Parties
KILLBEAR
ARROWHEAD
CARILLON
SAUBLE FALLS
BALSAM LAKE
CHARLESTON LAKE
DARLINGTON
IPPERWASH
LONG POINT
RONDEAU
WHEATLEY
Counties of Southern Ontario
1 Essex
2 Kent
3 Lambton
4 Middlesex
5 Elgin
6 Haldimand Norfolk
7 Niagara
8 Hamilton Wentworth
9 Brant
10 Oxford
11 Huron
12 Bruce
13 Perth
14 Waterloo
15 Wellington
16 Halton
17 Peel
18 Dufferin
19 Grey
20 Simcoe
21 York
22 Metropolitan Toronto
23 Durham
24 Victoria
25 Peterborough
26 Northumberland
27 Prince Edward
28 Lennox and Addington
29 Frontenac
30 Hastings
31 Lanark
32 Leeds and Grenville
33 Stormont, Dundas, and Glengarry
34 Prescott and Russell
35 Ottawa-Carleton
36 Renfrew
37 Nipissing
38 Haliburton
39 Muskoka
40 Parry Sound
41 Sudbury
42 Sudbury Arm
43 Algoma
44 Manitoulin

FIGURE 15: LOCATION OF PERMANENT RESIDENCE, NORTHERN ONTARIO, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES

FIGURE 16 PARTICIPATION RATES FOR SELECTED RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982



FIGURE 17. PARTICIPATION IN OFF-SEASON ACTIVITIES, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE 18 OFF-SEASON ACTIVITIES TO ENCOURAGE USE, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE 19 REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE 20 REASON FOR ENJOYMENT OF VISIT, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE21 RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



FIGURE 22 TYPE OF EQUIPMENT USED, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL PARKS, 1982 CAMPING PARTIES



4. INDIVIDUAL PARKS

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

SUMMARY

4. INDIVIDUAL PARKS, COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

The analysis and tables which follow, identify for individual parks some of the significant findings from the 1982 survey as compared with previous user surveys for those parks. They give an indication of trends in characteristics and reactions of users over a period in time.

The commentary highlights changes observed in the comparative tables included in the report. The period for which trends are observed varies for individual parks depending on when the previous survey was completed. This period is indicated on the comparative tables for the individual parks.

The tables which follow the individual commentaries are oganized according to type of user (day user, camper) and by the period covered by the comparison (i.e., all parks surveyed the same years are grouped together).

ARROWHEAD

Day Use	-	Users	- 8% increase in couples comes from 65+ age group, which also increased by about 6% - decrease in families, 0 - 24 age groups
	-	Amount of Use	- increase of 12% in previous visitors to park - visitors spend less than half former amount of time
	-	Activities & Facilities	- swimming is still major activity, with little to no change in its figures. Relaxing is down substantially, as is fishing, visiting local viewpoints, & picnicking. Hiking has increased in importance
	-	Why choose park?	- closeness to residence is the major reason for visiting park and is increasing
	-	Activities - reaction	- the majority of people wish the park to be left as it is. Other major recommendations include improving sanitation/washrooms, picnic area, and beach and boating facilities. Improvements in information seem to be much less of a priority than before.
	-	Geography	- slight rise in those from Parry Sound county and cities in and around Metro Toronto. Drop in those from Muskoka county - no longer the most numerous users.
Campers	-	Users	- increase in all party types but groups of friends - parallel increase in all age groups except 15 - 24. Largest percentage of parties are groups of four, followed by groups of two.
	-	Amount of Use	- more than half of visitors visited park previously - large shift from equal numbers of weekend and vacation visitors to almost exclusively vacationers
	-	Activities	- participation in fishing is down sharply. Swimming, casual play, guided walks, photography, and staff presentations are also down. Participation in picnicking, canoeing, hiking, biking, relaxation, visiting viewpoints and displays increased.
	-	Activities - reaction	- sanitation seems a major and increasing topic of concern. More people appear to see points of concern than before, including trails, recreation, and interpretive programmes.
	-	Why choose park?	- the most frequent reason for choosing Arrowhead has become its non-aquatic recreation and facilities. This has risen greatly over the 7 year period, from 1.3 to 21.4%. Being close to home or else a convenient stop seems to be decreasing in importance
	-	Geography	- high percentage are from Ontario

BALSAM LAKE

Day Use -

- Users
  - rise in all party types except groups of friends.
  - rise in parties of 2, 4, 6, and 7.
  - age groups 15-24 and 45 - 64 declined
- Amount of Use
  - very slight increase in previous visitors, but those who did, camped more frequently.
  - large shift from more than 6 to approximately 3 hours spent in the park
- Activities
  - drop in picnicking, swimming, casual play, and relaxing. Increase in both staff recreation and displays. Increase also in canoeing, fishing, hiking, visiting viewpoints, and photographing.
  - most people spent the majority of their time swimming, followed by picnicking and relaxing, all of which declined over the 6 years.
- Activities - reaction
  - increasing concern with leaving the park alone, but also with trails, recreation and interpretive programmes, sanitation, and concessions.
- Why choose park?
  - closeness to residence declined but remained the chief reason for visiting the park. Rising were personal recommendations, cleanliness, and beach and recreation facilities

Campers -

- Users
  - drop in number of organized groups, groups of friends. This may parallel drop in 15 - 24 year age group. However, there was an increase in parties of seven or more.
- Amount of Use
  - drop in percentage of previous visitors, but 95 % of those who did visit camped in the park.
  - less people renewed their permit than previously
  - most visitors were spending a vacation in the park
- Activities
  - canoeing, hiking, playground use, relaxing, visiting viewpoints, and all staff activities besides guided walks increased in rate of participation
  - most people relaxed, followed by swimming. The first increased; the other decreased. Other increases occurred in picnicking and motor-boating
- Activities - reaction
  - noise control, while still the major recommendation, has been cut in half from previous figures. Also decreasing were sanitation, other facilities, and recreation programmes. Increasing were boating, trails, campsites, and interpretive and information programme concerns.
- Equipment
  - decreases in equipment types used included travel trailers, dining shelters, and truck campers. The most sizeable increase occurred in the use of personal stoves.
- Expenditures
  - an expenditure decrease occurred in the area of food and drink, which was countered by an increase in miscellaneous items.

- Why choose park? - most people chose the park because it was close. However, recreational activities seem to be increasing considerations, as is convenience.

- Geography
  - slight drop in U.S. visitors
  - almost exclusively Ontario visitors
  - most of the large user groups declined, especially in vicinity of park
  - slightly more from Waterloo-Hamilton area

CALIPER LAKE

Campers - Users
- slightly fewer families parallels a rise in groups fo friends and singles
- drops were recorded in party sizes of 2, 3, & 5, significantly in that of 3's
- age groups 1-15 & 25-44 increased even though families decreased

- Use
- about ¼ of visitors had previously visited the park, about ½ of those in the previous year.
- both increased from before along with a large increase in previous campers
- permit renewal increased slightly, mainly below 3 times

- Equipment
- tent, stove, and van use increased over the 4 year period

- Use
- the number of weekend and longer term vacationers decreased somewhat out of keepin with other parks
- nearly 50% of visitors now use the park as a stopover

- Activities
- relaxing decreased in participation, but the next two highest, swimming and fishing, increased. Also up significantly was photography. Down was hiking.
- half the staff activities (guided walks & staff recreation) increased, the other two dropped. None were significant figures.
- previous favorite activities (fishing & relaxing) declined, though they still maintained their high standing. The only major rise was swimming.

- Activities - reaction
- a slight increase in satisfaction with quietness, washrooms, & campsites was offset with large drops in satisfaction with natural setting and swimming facilities
- less people wish the park left as is.
- increasingly, most recommend improved sanitation and other facilities, but the previous high, campsites, has decreased by over ¼.

- Expenditure
- Expenditures on fuel and entertainment rose
- miscellaneous declined

- Why choose park?
- most people visited the park because it was convenient. The next two highest, beach facilities and non-aquatic recreation, also rose. The only major fall was in natural setting.

- Geography
- decrease in U.S. users
- now approximately 1/3 of Ontario, other Canada, and U.S. visitors
- only noticeable increase was in those from within the county, which also is the largest percentage of Ontario users

CARILLON

Day Use	Users	- sharp increase in number of families only. This may or may not account for the fact that this is one of the few parks where the 15-24 age group has increased
	Use	- nearly twice as many people visited the park previously in 1982 (almost 3/4 total visitors). Their length of stay was approximately the same as before
	Activities	- the major activity continues to be swimming. Relaxation and picnicking have both dropped sharply as major activities. Most other activities have dropped as well.
	Activities - reaction	- 4% more people want the park left as is, but increasing recommendations for change include sanitation/washrooms and beach/swimming areas. Concession and trail improvements have decreased to minor importance
	Why choose park?	- close to residence is main reason for visiting park, although it has dropped by 15% in importance (48% to 33%)
	Geography	- largest number of users are from within county, but increasingly from Ottawa-Carleton and Grenville areas
Campers	Users	- number of couples have increased along with party sizes 2-4 and age groups 45-64 & 65+. - however, all other age groups have decreased
	Use	- less previous visitors, many more vacationers than weekend visitors
	Activities	- large decrease in fishing, also drop in swimming - biking, picnicking, hiking, visiting viewpoints, and viewing presentations have all increased in value
	Activities - reaction	- everyone had recommendations for the park - most important but decreasing was sanitation - increasing concerns were beach and boating facilities
	Why choose park?	- closeness to home and convenience are the major reason for visiting Carillon, but are dropping. - natural setting, non-aquatic recreation, and quietness are increasing considerations
	Geography	- drop in non-Ontario - no figures on permanent residences

CHARLESTON LAKE

Day Use - Users
- slight decrease in # of families, large decrease in # of couples which is reflected in drop in 45+'s, and in drop of groups of 2
- under 15's and 25-44's both increased
- increase in groups of 8+

- Use
- the previous visit to park is still high, but dropping
- time spent in park reflects trend downwards, from approximately 6 hours to approximately 2½ hours

- Activities
- picnicking, fishing, hiking, photography, and staff-organized activities all rose in participation
- swimming and relaxing dropped
- activity spent the most time doing reflected the following trends: relaxing, picnicking, and swimming are down; visiting viewpoints, hiking, and walking are up.

- Activities - reaction
- quietness, picnicking, swimming, and trails were increasing reasons for enjoyment of park
- natural setting large decrease
- leave as is was the most commong recommended improvement, increasing most rapidly
- increase in demand for improved boating, trails, and recreation programmes
- improvements to picnic facilities and others drop significantly

- Why choose park?
- non-aquatic recreation and natural setting are increasing reasons for choosing park
- close, general interest, and visiting friends are decreasing
- close to home is still main reason for choosing park

- Expenditure
- fuel and transport cost up; all others down

- Geography
- the park's county, Leeds, produced the most visitors, followed by Frontenac, a neighboring county
- both had decreased slightly in opposition to the increase in visitors from the other 2 surrounding counties and Metro Toronto
- slightly more visitors from outside the province and country than before, but this has not had a large impact

CHARLESTON LAKE

Campers

- Users
  - increase in number of couples, groups of 2 & 5
  - increase in under 15's and 25-44 age groups
- Use
  - slight increase in previous visitors, of which a majority visited in the previous year
  - an increase of 47% in previous campers
  - fewer renewed their permits, but for an increasing number of times
  - most consider their trip a vacation type, and increasingly use the park as a stopover
- Equipment
  - decrease in use of personal stoves, dining shelters
  - increase in use of tents, tent trailers, and truck campers
- Activities
  - increase in participation in most activities except swimming, motorboating, and staff presentations
  - most people spent less time in traditional activities such as swimming, canoeing, and relaxing
- Activities - reaction
  - major decreases in reasons for enjoying the visit were the park's natural setting, aquatic activities, and campsites
  - improvements were increasingly recommended in boating, concessions, and other facilities
  - greatest drop was recorded in sanitation
  - greatest increase was in leaving the park as is
- Expenditure
  - expenditures remained stable
- Why choose park?
  - increasing majority of people chose the park for its non-aquatic recreation
  - past experience and convenience also increased as reasons for choosing the park
- Geography
  - slightly less than twice as many non-Ontario Canadians now using park
  - most Ontarians are from the are from the east, mainly Ottawa.
  - the area surrounding the park has decreased in amount of users

DARLINGTON

Day Use

- Users
  - decrease in number of families, correlating to a drop in people under 45
  - an increasing trend towards groups of 4 or less
- Use
  - previous visitors returning to the park are down slightly; almost none camped there before
  - large shift towards spending less than 4 hours
- Activities
  - increases in participation in photography, conducted walks, hiking, and canoeing
  - most spent the majority of their time relaxing, which is down 4% from 1976
  - only increases occurred in canoeing and visiting viewpoints (both slight)
- Activities - reaction
  - majority of people wish for beach/swimming area improvements, but this is decreasing in favour of rapidly increasing group who want the park left alone.
  - increase also occurred in sanitation concerns
- Why choose park?
  - nearly half of park users chose the park because it was close to home.
  - convenience, cleanliness, non-aquatic recreation, and quiet were all increasing reasons
- Geography
  - drop in Ontario users, although still largest percentage
  - significant increase in people from Grey county
  - decreases in both of the larger users - Metro Toronto and Durham county

Campers

- Users
  - increasing percentages of couples mainly, one would presume over the age of 25, are displacing families
  - also an increase in groups of 5 & 6
- Use
  - less people previously visited the park, but more camped
  - fewer renewed their permits, but more were there for longer than a weekend
- Activities
  - picnicking, canoeing, playground use, and relaxation all increased in participation
  - most people came to Darlington to relax
  - almost all other activities decreased
- Activities - reaction
  - large increase in sanitation concerns were recorded
  - large drops in concern with recreation programmes, campsites, and other facilities
- Equipment
  - increases in use of tents, stoves, and motor homes were recorded
- Expenditure
  - expenditures on fuel & food decreased
  - expenditures on entertainment and miscellaneous rose
- Why choose park?
  - most visited park because it was close
  - increases occurred in visits due to general interest, recreation activities, and quietness
- Geography
  - drop in Ontario users is coupled with a rise in U.S. visitors
  - drop is felt most in areas closest to the park, Metro and Durham, as in the day use statistics

GREENWATER

Campers - Users
- stable numbers in party types except for a decrease in the number of groups of friends
- party sizes of 2, 4, & 8+ increased, but all others decreased
- numbers of people under 25 also fell

- Use
- stable number of previous visitors, but a much higher percentage of previous campers
- more people renewed their permits
- more visited on a vacation as opposed to a weekend trip

Activities
- swimming, motorboating, casual play, staff recreation, and guided walks all decreased in participation
- most others increased significantly, especially fishing, relaxing, and viewing displays
- favorite activities which increased were relaxing, canoeing, and hiking
- drops occurred in swimming, fishing, and casual play

- Activities - reaction
- significant drop in recommendations about noise control, and campsites was recorded
- only significant gain was in concern about recreational programmes

- Equipment
- use of most camping equipment, except tent trailers, dining shelters, and truck campers increased

- Expenditure
- fuel and transport costs increased in proportion to a food and drink decrease

- Why choose park?
- decreasing, though still major, portion of visitors chose Greenwater because it was close or a convenient stop
- major increased occurred in recreational activities

- Geography
- over 75% Ontarians are still using park
- less are coming from the area close to the park and more from South Central Ontario.

FINLAYSON POINT

Campers - Users
- numbers of couples, groups, and groups of friends increased, paralleling increases in parties of 2 & 6+
- age groups 25-44 and 45-64 also increased

- Use
- more people are return visitors to the park, an increase from the previous year. Of these 100% stayed within the park
- a much larger percentage renwed their permits, mainly for once only, but increasingly twice
- weekend and other visitors increased at the expense of vacationers, although over 75% are still on a vacation trip
- increasing figures, especially in main destination, mean that the camping role of the park is now more a destination than a stopping point

- Activities
- participation decreased in only 3 activities: fishing (strongly), hiking, and staff presentations (slightly)
- favorite was still relaxing, with an increase of 8.8%
- most people's time still spent relaxing, although it and next two, fishing and swimming, declined in response to rises in motorboating and canoeing

Activities - reaction
- more people enjoyed visit because of beach, although campsites were the major reason
- only major increase in improvement recommendations was with regard to showers, which increased 13% to become overwhelmingly the major concern

- Equipment
- tents, stoves, and motorhomes increased in usage
- all other equipment declined

- Expenditure
- all expenses declined with the exception of food and drink

- Why choose park?
- most people chose park for its convenience, although this decreased mainly in response to increasing interest in beach and recreation facilities

- Geography
- Ontario visitors still the most frequent users
- most came, not from around the park, but from York-Metro area. However, an increasing number did come from close to the park

IPPERWASH

Day Use
- Users
  - 12% increase in number of families, increase also in parties of 4 & 5, age groups 25-44 & 45-64
- Use
  - stable numbers of previous visitors and previous campers (most did not camp)
  - trend towards increasing amount of time spent in park (approx. 6 hours)
- Activities
  - increases in participation in photography, swimming, canoeing, fishing, & biking, and large increase in hiking
  - all others decreased
  - all major activities decreased, swimming significantly (20%). Activities classified in other category were responsible
- Activities - reaction
  - large increase in number of people wishing the park to be left as is
  - other increasing suggestions concern improving picnic and campsite areas, sanitation, and concessions.
- Why choose park?
  - more people than previously visited Ipperwash because it is quiet, has non-aquatic recreation, and beach facilities
  - sharp decreases occurred in choosing park because it was close, and through general interest
- Geography
  - an increase to over 90% of users from Ontario. Most are from he Middlesex (London) area, but a large increase in those from Brant county was noticed, in opposition to a decrease in those coming from within the county. Almost none were from beyond Peal county.

Campers
- Users
  - increase in both number of families and number of couples
  - age group increases in all but 15-24 group
  - party size increases in only groups of 3 and 8+
- Use
  - stable number of previous visitors, but many more visited in previous year and camped then ('81)
  - fewer people renewed their permits, but those who did renewed them for an increasing length of time
- Equipment
  - only decreases in camping equipment used were travel trailers and dining shelters
- Use
  - increasingly, most people visited for a vacation as opposed to a weekend trip
  - park is more frequently one of several destinations or a stopover point
- Activities
  - many of the more popular activites decreased, except picnicking, relaxing, and visiting viewpoints
  - staff activities except displays declined
  - participation in boating (both motor and canoe) increased
  - parallel to participation rates, most major activities declined, except picnicking, motor boating, and biking
- Activities - reaction
  - park was enjoyed increasingly because of its swimming and aquatic programme, and washroom facilities
  - increasing recommendations for improving recreation programmes, noise control, and campsites
  - major decreases in sanitation and other facilities concern
- Expenditure
  - entertainment and food expenses declined
  - fuel and miscellaneous expenses rose
- Why choose park
  - large increases in visiting Ipperwash were because it was close and for its beach
  - other increases included visting friends, past experience cleanliness, and quietness

- Geography - a large rise in Ontario users, and an approximately equivalent drop in those from the U.S.
  - generally, a decrease in those people coming from areas close to the park, with a shift to people from South Central Ontario instead, especially Perth & Waterloo counties. Middlesex still provides the highest percentages.

KAKABEKA FALLS

Day Use
- Users
  - number of couples increases at the expense of families
  - sizeable rise in 45-64 and 65+ age groups, possibly correlated to couples growth
- Use
  - no real change in number of previous visitors
  - slight decrease in amount of hours spent in park
- Activities
  - the three main activities - swimming, relaxing, and picnicking - have all declined, relaxing sharply
  - casual play about the only activity to increase
- Activities - reaction
  - large increase in swimming/beach area suggestions
  - large decrease in sanitation, concessions, and boating concerns
- Geography
  - no noticeable gains or drops
  - Thunder Bay county still supplies over 55% of users

Campers
- Users
  - 10% increase in number of couples parallels 10% decrease in families
  - increase in parties of 2 and 4, age groups over 25
- Use
  - slight increase in previous visitors, also in vacation trips
- Activities
  - large increases in hiking, visiting viewpoints, and viewing displays
  - drops in picnicking, swimming, fishing, casual play, and relaxing activities
- Activities - reaction
  - sanitation (up 4%) is the major improvement recommended
  - large drops occurred in noise control, information, interpretive programs, and leaving park as it is
- Why choose park?
  - natural setting of the park seems increasingly major reason for visiting Kakabeka
  - decreasing were convenience and prior recommendations
  - increasing was non-aquatic recreation
- Geography
  - origin figures only
  - slightly less Ontario and U.S. visitors
  - slightly more non-Ontario Canadians

KILLBEAR

Day Use

- Users
  - families and couples increased, presumably in number of adults, since there was also an increase in 25-65+ age groups.
  - party sizes of 2-4 were most common and increasing
- Use
  - fewer previous visitors than before, although those who visited the year before camped in the park more frequently
  - drop in length of stay - in '75, most stayed 6 or more hours, but in '82, the mean was centred around 2-3 hours
- Activities
  - swimming decreased approximately 12%, but still maintained position as one of the more frequent activities
  - fishing did not decline, one of the few parks in which this occurred
  - canoeing, visiting viewpoints, and guided walks all increased
  - most frequent activity was relaxing, although it had declined 9%
  - hiking, photography, canoeing, and picnicking were all up
- Activities - reaction
  - over twice as many people as previously wish the park left as it is
  - however, there was an increase in most other concerns, excluding swimming and picnic areas
- Why choose park?
  - closeness to home increasingly most important reason for choosing park
  - other increases include swimming, personal recommendations, natural setting, and convenience
- Geography
  - drop in Ontario users
  - drop in people from counties close to the park is in opposition to the rise in # of people from Metro Toronto, Halton, & Niagara

Campers

- Users
  - large decrease in groups of friends picked up by increase in families
  - size of groups shiftin towards 4-6
  - only decrease in 15-24 year age group
- Use
  - increase in previous visitors
  - large increase in vacationers
- Activities
  - increase in staff activities, except displays
  - increase in picnicking, swimming, canoeing, biking, and most others besides boating, fishing, and playground use
- Activities - reaction
  - sanitation major improvement requested
  - noise control and leaving park as is major decreases
- Why choose park?
  - natural setting, beach facilities, and non-aquatic recreation were increasing reasons for visiting Killbear
  - most others declined
- Geography
  - 3% drop in Ontarians visiting the park
  - no figures for permanent residences

LAKE NIPIGON

Campers	-	Users	- decrease by 10% in number of families visiting park - increase in all other categories is reflected in the increase in groups of 1, 2, and 4. However, the only age group to rise was the 45-64 group
	-	Use	- less than 15% of visitors visited the park previously, down 3% from the former year. However, an increasing number visited in the '81 season, of which 90% were campers, a large increase. - less people renewed their permits, increasingly tending towards one renewal at the most
	-	Equipment	- motorhome, tent, and stove usage increased, while all other equipment use dropped significantly
	-	Use	- as in Caliper Lake, there has been a decrease in both weekend and vacation visitors - figures have remained stable as far as the role of the park is concerned, divided evenly between being one of several destinations and a stopover point
		Activities	- the 3 most popular activites in 1978, relaxing, hiking, and visiting viewpoints, all declined by at least 9% over the 4 year period. - however, sharp rise in fishing has picked up most of the percentages lost - other large drops included staff presentations, viewing displays, casual play, and swimming - only other major rise was in photography - oddly, although hiking participation declined, it was the preferred activity for an increasing number of people - relaxing was highest, although it decreased, along with the third highest, fishing (also going against participation figures.)
		Activities - reaction	- an increasing majority of people enjoyed the park because it was quiet - also up were cleanliness, washrooms, aquatic recreation, and convenient facilities - down sharply were enjoyment of natural setting, swimming, and campsites - only rising improvement concerns were with trails and unspecified facilities - large drop occurred in concerns over sanitation and campsites
		Expenditure	- expenditures increased in all areas but food and drink - entertainment cost rose 11%
		Why choose park?	- increasing reasons for choosing Lake Nipigon were recommendations from friends, convenience as a major stopping point (now the major reason) and recreational activities.
		Geography	- slight rise in out-of-province Canadians parallels decrease of the same amount of Ontarians - a drop in most of the large user groups - Thunder Bay county is still first, followed by Metro Toronto

LONG POINT

Day Use

- Users
  - increase in families
  - decrease in groups of friends
  - decreases in party sizes of 1 and 3
  - drop in age groups 15-24 and 45-64
- Use
  - 80% of visitors had previously visited, up from before
  - also up were number of previous campers, but it was still less than 20%
  - shift towards spending more time in the park (mean of about 5 hours)
- Activities
  - picnicking, swimming, hiking, casual play, and photography were increasing activities
  - most spent time swimming or relaxing, but both of these decreased
- Activities - reaction
  - major (increasing) improvements were suggested for sanitation
  - other main issues were picnic area and beach
  - fair number wished the park to be left as is
- Why choose park?
  - beach was a main drawing card
  - also increasing were interest in non-aquatic recreation, cleanliness, and prior recommendation
- Geography
  - increase in U.S. visitors is reflected in drop in users from counties surrounding park
  - most people still come from area, but not within Haldimand-Norfolk county
  - increasing number were from Waterloo and Russell counties

Campers

- Users
  - decrease in families and couples
  - increase in groups of friends and singles
  - most parties of 4 or more increased
  - only age group increase occurred in 45-64 group
- Users
  - previous visitors increased, and more camped in previous year
  - more people renewed their permit (over 60%) and most were there for a vacation trip
- Activities
  - decrease in staff-intensive activities, with the exception of a large gain in viewing staff presentations
  - other decreases in picnic participation, hiking and , to a large degree, fishing
  - major increases occurred in swimming, relaxing, visiting viewpoints and use of playground
  - only increasing major activity was motor-boating
  - all other activities decreased
  - majority of people's time still spent relaxing
- Activities - reaction
  - of increasing concern to people were trails, recreation programmes, campsites, concessions, and especially sanitation
- Equipment
  - increase in use of tents, tent trailers, and personal stoves
- Expenditure
  - all expenditures decreased except those on miscellaneous objects
- Why choose park?
  - most people visited Long Point because of its beach, followed by its closeness to home
  - decreases occurred in visiting the park because of aquatic recreation and convenience

- Geography
  - again, an increase in U.S. visitors
  - almost no one was from outside S.W. Ontario
  - mainly from the surrounding area and increasing from the nearby large cities

NEYS

Day Use	Users	- increase in # of couples, groups of 2, and 25 - 44 age group - larger drop in # of families
	Use	- drop in previous visit, however, of those who visited in the last year, more camped than previously - length of stay was much lower than before, almost half stay less than one hour
	Amount of Use	- swimming, visiting viewpoints, photography, and walking participation rates all increase - picnicking, canoeing, casual play and relaxing decrease - walking, a major activity, greatly increased - relaxing, picnicking, hiking, fishing were down
	Why choose park?	- the reasons for choosing the park were recommendations of beach/swim facility and recreacion - a convenient stop, although having lost importance, is still the major reason
	Activities - reaction	- the greatest reason for enjoyment was natural setting, although beach and good weather increased in importance - cleanliness and convenience declined - sanitation and information only increasing areas of suggestion for improvements - leave as is main recommendation
	Expenditure	- food and drink down, but miscellaneous up
	Geography	- very large drop in Ontario visitors, from nearly 100% to less than half, is responsible for the general decrease in percentages for counties - highest numbers still come from Thunder Bay county, which includes the park - surrounding counties contribute very few people, with the second highest percentage coming from Metro Toronto
Campers	Users	- increase only in number of couples, seemingly covering all ages from 25 up - also party size of 2 increase as well as 3, 7, 8+
	Use	- Neys, usually a stopover, is becoming more a main or one of several destinations - over 90% vacationers - there were more previous visitors, more of which visited the previous year. - of these, there was tremendous jump in the number of campers - campsite permits were renewed more often, but for less times
	Activities	- most activities increased in frequency, but picnicking, swimming, fishing, hiking, and casual play dropped - most people spent their time relaxing, which declined along with the second most popular activity: hiking
	Activities - reaction	- natural setting is main reason for enjoying park - however, it is declining, in opposition to beach and water activities - improvements in boating area and trails are increasing recommendations. Most important is leaving park alone, which also increased

Equipment	- increase in use of tent and stove
Expenditure	- large drop in expenses on food parallels increase in miscellaneous costs, entertainment
Why choose park?	- park chosen mainly because of its convenience - increasing concerns: close, recommended, natural setting, beach
Geography	- decrease in Canadians from all provinces - Metro supplies second highest number of visitors, following only the park's own county, Thunder Bay - more people from Southern than Northern Ontario

OBATANGA

Campers

- Users
  - decrease in all but numbers of couples
  - families still the largest percentage of users
  - increase in groups of 2, 3, and 4, bringing distribution even closer to a mean of 3
  - age groups of 25 and over increased as well, probably because of the increase in couples
- Use
  - there was an increase of about 2% in number of previous visitors, and a large shift towards visiting in previous year
  - of these, almost 96% were campers, a large increase
  - a small but increasing number of people renewed their permit, usually only once, but increasingly 2-3 times
  - increasing majority of people take their vacation trip in the park - usually it is one of several destinations or a stopover
- Equipment
  - only camping equipment to increase in use were personal stoves and motor homes
- Activities
  - participation in most activities was large
  - only increases in participation were in fishing, biking, relaxing, photography, and staff recreation
  - oddly, favorite activities do not parallel the participation rates
  - increases in canoeing, casual play and relaxing as favorite activity were recorded
  - large drop in fishing as the major activity occurred
- Activities - reaction
  - the three main reasons for enjoying the visit: quietness, natural setting, and campsites, all of which declined in favor of smaller concerns such as cleanliness and convenient facilities
  - sanitation is main concern of campers, rising 2% over the 5 years
  - also increasing were recreation programme recommendations, and leaving park as is
- Expenditure
  - spending on fuel and miscellaneous items increased, the latter by 12%
- Why choose park?
  - recreational programmes and convenient stop were increasing as reasons given for visiting Obatanga
    - the latter rose by 18% to become the most important reason
- Geography
  - 3% rise in Ontarians brings the number of these users over 50%
  - Metro Toronto,. Middlesex, Algoma, and Thunder Bay counties sent out increasing number of visitors

RONDEAU

Day Use	-	Users	- increase in number of couples, allied with an increase in 25-44 age group
	-	Use	- stable number of previous visitors and of length of stay
	-	Activities	- save swimming, relaxing, picnicking and photography, most major activities increased in popularity
	-	Activities - reaction	- majority wish the park left as it is - only significant decrease occurred in boating facilities recommendations
	-	Geography	- almost exclusively, people come from S.W. Ontario - nearly 50% from within the county - Middlesex and Essex are the next highest
Campers	-	Users	- increase in number of families, which parallels increase in all age groups but 15-24 - decrease in all party sizes except ones and fives
	-	Use	- increase in previous visitors of 4% - more than twice as many visitors as previously are making their visit a vacation trip as opposed to a weekend one
	-	Activities	- increase in swimmers, hikers, bikers, relaxing, visiting viewpoints, and staff presentations and walks - fishing has declined sharply, from 20% to 0% - casual and playground play has also decreased - viewing displays has decreased
	-	Activities - reaction	- sanitation concerns are nearly double those in 1975 (28% to 46%) - up also, paralleling increase in non-aquatic recreational programmes - concern with noise control, campsites, and other facilities dropping, but still significant
	-	Why choose park?	- natural setting and beach and recreation facilities are increasing reasons for visiting Rondeau - main reason is still closeness to home
	-	Geography	- large increase in Ontario users - over 10% - no figures available on permanent residence

SAUBLE FALLS

Camper

- Users
  - decreases in number of couples and, although still significant, number of friends
  - only age group decrease was 15- 24's
  - only party size decreases were groups of 2 and 6
- Use
  - stable number of previous visitors, but many more were previous campers
  - slight downward trend in permit renewal
  - many more people making vacation trip stops
- Activities
  - significant rises in viewing park displays and presentations, local viewpoints
  - decreases in swimming and a major drop in in fishing participation
  - favorite activities, which increased, include relaxing, casual play, and fishing.
  - hiking, picnicking, swimming (by 20%) decreased as favourite activities
- Activities - reaction
  - concerns with sanitation, although high, dropped significantly
  - also decreasing were noise control, campsites, and other facility concerns
  - largest increase was for recreational programmes
- Equipment
  - increases only in the use of tent trailers and personal stoves were recorded
- Expenditure
  - expenditures in all areas but miscellaneous decreased
- Why choose park?
  - cleanliness of the park and recreational activities were increasing reasons for choosing Sauble Falls
  - all others declined, although convenience, natural setting, and beach availability were still important considerations
- Geography
  - as with many of the Southwestern parks, an increase in Ontario visitors
  - most of these from South Central Ontario, not the park vicinity
  - largest numbers come from Metro Toronto, which was an increase

WAKAMI LAKE

Campers -

- Users
  - decrease only in number of families, although still largest user group
  - parties of 3, 4, and 5 also declined, with groups of 2 still having the largest percentage
  - age groups 25 - 44 and 65+ both increased, and 15 to 24's, unlike most other parks, remained stable
- Use
  - drop in previous visitors, although those who did, visited more frequently in the immediately previous year
  - camping at that time increased dramatically, by 56%
  - fewer people renewed their permit
  - 2/3 of those who renewed their permit did so only once
  - slight rise in the number of people visiting as part of vacation trip
  - park is much less a main destination than it was previously
- Equipment
  - increasing use of personal stoves and tents was recorded
- Activities
  - relaxing has become the activity with the largest participation rate
  - previous favorite, fishing, has declined 50%
  - staff activities all rose with the exception of guided walks
  - canoeing and swimming also rose
- Activities - reaction
  - park was enjoyed mainly for its quietness, and much less for natural setting
  - decreasing concerns with most facilities meant that there were no real increased problems except boating facilities
- Expenditure
  - spending decreased on all but miscellaneous items
- Why choose park?
  - main reason for choosing Wakami Lake seems increasingly to be aquatic and non-aquatic recreational programs
  - main drops occurred in choosing for quietness, natural setting, or convenience
- Geography
  - large increase in Ontarians
  - drop in U.S. visitors
  - Algoma county and Metro Toronto increasingly supplied the most visitors.
  - Few people came from surrounding counties, but there were a fair number (although decreasing) from within the county

WHEATLEY

Day Use
- Users
  - number of families and, suprisingly, number of organized groups increased
  - groups of seven or more increased greatly, and groups of two also increased
  - number of people between 15 & 44 decreased
- Use
  - previous visitors remained stable; fewer camped in park
  - majority of people stayed 6 or more hours, but there is a trend to spend less time
- Activities
  - usual favorites, picnicking and swimming, both increased in usage
  - biking, hiking, photography, & playground use increased as well
  - the largest decrease was in fishing, a decline of 10%
  - relaxing, swimming, and picniking decreased as major activities
  - most others decreased to zero
  - canoeing, photography, casual play, and visiting campers were the only increases
- Activities - reaction
  - improvements in trails, washrooms, and especially beach/swimming areas all increased in concern
- Why choose park?
  - park's natural setting and cleanliness were the two main reasons for choosing Wheatley
  - major drops occurred in visiting friends and being close to home
- Geography
  - an increase in percent of Ontario visitors was recorded
  - almost no one visited from outside the most south-westerly 3-5 counties
  - mostly from Essex, which Wheatley is closest to.

Campers
- Users
  - smaller percentages in all party types, but couples parallels drop in 0 - 24 year age group, and most party sizes except two and four
- Use
  - fewer previous visitors were recorded
  - trend towards longer vacation-type trips continued to increase
- Activities
  - participation in swimming, canoeing, hiking, playground use, relaxing, visiting viewpoints, photography, and visiting displays all increased, in most cases by at least 4%
  - all others decreased
- Activities - reaction
  - picnic area, beach, trail, and unidentified facilities were subjects of increasing concern
  - sanitary recommendations dropped, as did those for noise control
- Why choose park?
  - most common reason for choosing Wheatley continues to be closeness to home
  - increases occurred in cleanliness, beach, and recreational facilities
- Geography
  - a large drop (20%) in Ontarians using the park, with mainly U.S. visitors filling the gap
  - no data on permanent residences

WHITE LAKE

Campers

- Users
  - rise in number of families, couples, and organized groups
  - only rise in groups of 4
  - however, general drop in all under 25
- Use
  - percentage of previous visitors down, but 100% of those who visited in 1981 camped there
  - many more people taking vacations rather than weekend trips
- Activities
  - participation in picnicking, swimming, motorboating, and canoeing is down
  - all others increased, especially fishing, with a 20% increase
  - oddly, the only activity to increase, in which the majority of the participant's time was spent, was canoeing.
- Activities - reaction
  - a large decrease occurred in recommendations to improve sanitation, information services, and campsites
- Equipment
  - increases in the use of stoves, vans, and motor-homes occurred
- Expenditure
  - expenditures on fuel & miscellaneous items rose
  - those on food and entertainment dropped
- Why choose park?
  - main reason for visiting park is as convenient stop, which increased over the 6 year period.
  - other increases were recommendations by friends, beach and aquatic recreation facilities.
- Geography
  - less than 50% are Ontarians, a drop of 6%
  - more now come from the U.S.
  - reasonably high number from Southern Ontario
  - two previously high percentages of users, Metro Toronto and Thunder Bay county, both decreased in percentage but retained their standings

DAY USE
74 - 82

		TYPE OF PARTY						SEX DISTRIBUTION		AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	M	F	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
ARROWHEAD	74	60.3	21.7	0.7	15.6	1.4	0.2			32.4	16.1	33.4	13.3	4.8
	82	52.1	29.4	0.8	14.3	0.8	2.5	48.7	51.3	30.3	13.7	32.2	13.2	10.6
CARILLON	74	49.8	19.9	6.8	19.9	2.7	0.9			34.3	15.8	38.6	8.6	2.7
	82	62.8	15.8	1.4	17.2	0.5	2.3	52.2	47.8	33.9	16.7	35.1	12.3	2.1
KAKABEKA FALLS	74	63.7	13.1	1.2	20.3	1.8	0.0			35.6	22.6	27.3	11.5	3.1
	82	50.7	23.6	1.7	18.8	2.2	3.1	48.0	52.0	30.0	20.8	25.0	13.6	10.7
RONDEAU	74	56.3	18.7	2.9	19.3	2.6	0.0			28.7	28.7	26.1	10.7	5.7
	82	52.7	24.3	2.2	12.8	2.7	5.3	51.7	48.3	29.0	23.3	31.3	10.7	5.7

DAY USE
74 - 82

		PREVIOUS VISIT		LENGTH OF STAY (AVERAGE) - HOURS	ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING											
		YES	NO		SWIM	RELAX	PICNIC	HIKE	CASUAL PLAY	FISHING	VIEWPOINT	CANOE	PHOTO	BIKE	VISIT CAMPERS	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	74	43.3	56.7	6.15	26.4	24.8	11.9	15.3	1.5	7.9	4.8	5.6	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.7
	82	55.2	44.8	3.47	26.1	18.2	8.0	22.7	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.1	21.5
CARILLON	74	48.1	51.9	5.48	31.4	31.3	17.9	2.8	7.9	4.9	0.9	1.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.9
	82	70.9	29.1	5.54	34.1	14.6	7.9	1.2	4.3	1.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.5
KAKABEKA	74	71.5	28.5	3.97	28.0	31.5	20.9	3.3	2.3	0.7	7.1	0.0	4.7	0.6	0.8	0.0
	82	70.9	29.1	3.09	17.4	12.8	12.2	1.7	5.2	0.0	7.6	0.0	4.7	1.2	0.0	31.4
RONDEAU	74	81.4	18.6	5.35	35.8	25.5	7.4	3.5	3.8	2.2	5.3	0.9	3.9	3.0	0.1	8.6
	82	81.8	18.2	5.40	20.2	13.8	6.9	4.3	5.3	3.7	5.9	1.1	1.6	4.3	1.6	31.4

74 - 82
DAY USE
- 3 -

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK					RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS								
		CLOSE TO RESIDENCE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED BY FRIEND	VISITING FRIEND	OTHER	LEAVE AS IS	SANITATION	CONCESSIONS	SWIMMING/ BEACHES	PICNIC AREA	INFORMATION	BOATING	TRAIL	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	74	23.8	10.9	9.9	1.1	3.2	40.2	9.9	5.0	1.9	4.9	17.0	3.7	0.7	16.7
	82	35.5	9.9	7.8	5.0	41.7	21.9	12.6	3.1	7.8	15.6	4.7	7.8	6.3	20.4
CARILLON	74	48.4	7.6	11.2	0.5	0.8	10.6	9.8	12.4	24.0	6.6	2.4	5.3	6.8	22.0
	82	33.3	4.0	6.2	4.8	51.7	14.0	12.7	2.0	29.3	6.7	1.3	4.0	1.3	28.7
KAKABEKA FALLS	74	37.4	7.4	6.5	0.0	0.2	22.7	10.3	12.7	0.0	4.8	0.0	2.5	3.8	48.5
	82	30.8	9.0	4.1	2.6	53.3	18.8	5.5	7.0	15.6	7.0	0.8	0.0	2.3	43.0
RONDEAU	74						18.8	7.8	1.4	9.8	3.2	2.8	5.7	1.5	49.1
	82	36.2	3.3	1.6	8.2	50.7	20.0	10.9	3.2	11.0	2.6	1.9	1.9	3.2	45.0

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM
ARROWHEAD	74	2.2	1.0	0.2	-	0.7	-	0.2	3.4	1.2	0.4	1.2	3.7	4.3	0.2	1.6	5.8	0.5	3.1	0.2	6.9	6.0	19.7	1.8
	82	0.8	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	0.8	-	0.8	0.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	5.9	3.4	5.9	-	3.4	0.8	25.2	2.5
CARILLON	74	0.4	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	0.2	0.5
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-
KAKABEKA FALLS	74	0.9	-	0.1	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	0.6	0.1	-	0.4	1.0	1.2	0.1	1.2	1.5	4.1	1.6
	82	1.3	0.4	0.4	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	0.4	0.9	-	0.4	0.9	-	-	-	0.9	0.4	3.0	-
RONDEAU	74	12.4	42.4	2.5	-	6.1	3.2	-	0.1	0.2	-	0.4	-	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	1.1	0.9	-	-	1.7	2.2	-
	82	11.0	49.3	2.6	-	10.1	3.5	0.4	0.4	0.9	-	-	-	0.4	0.9	1.3	0.9	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	1.3	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		VICTORIA	MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX-ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING
ARROWHEAD	74	-	24.0	-	0.2	-	-	0.7	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.2	2.0	0.4	1.0
	82	-	18.5	-	0.8	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.2	-	-
CARILLON	74	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.4	-	14.3	0.4	0.4	-	0.6	-
	82	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.7	2.7	-	0.5	0.5	14.6	0.5	-	-	-	0.5
CHAKABEKA FALLS	74	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	1.0	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	-	-	0.4	-	0.4	-
CONDEAU	74	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.6	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		COCHRANE	MANITOULIN	ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
ARROWHEAD	74	1.0	-	-	-	0.2	0.4
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
CARILLON	74	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
KAKABEKA FALLS	74	0.5	-	0.4	57.3	0.4	-
	82	0.4	-	0.9	57.1	0.9	0.9
RONDEAU	74	0.2	-	-	-	-	-
	82	0.4	-	-	-	-	-

75 - 82
DAY USE
- 1 -

		TYPE OF PARTY					PARTY SIZE (# PEOPLE)								AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	14-24	25-44	45-64	65+
KILLBEAR	75	53.0	21.8	3.3	18.5	3.5	2.9	25.4	9.3	21.6	14.8	7.8	6.0	12.1	30.0	21.3	33.7	12.0	3.1
	82	56.0	24.0	2.7	14.0	3.3	1.3	28.9	16.1	23.5	11.4	8.1	2.7	8.1	27.8	16.2	38.3	14.1	3.7
WHEATLEY	75	49.4	25.0	0.8	19.1	5.7	4.0	22.6	15.0	19.7	7.7	10.3	0.7	20.1	22.9	29.7	33.3	11.7	2.5
	82	59.0	17.9	2.6	12.8	7.7	0.0	23.7	7.9	18.4	13.2	5.3	5.3	26.3	29.0	21.6	30.7	15.3	3.4

		PREVIOUS VISIT		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		LENGTH OF STAY (HOURS)						
		YES	NO	YES	NO	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6+
KILLBEAR	75	57.2	42.8	16.1	83.9	0.5	7.6	10.7	16.2	11.2	9.8	43.9
	82	51.3	48.7	29.4	70.6	11.8	11.0	21.3	19.9	14.0	6.6	15.4
WHEATLEY	75	69.2	30.8	29.3	70.7	5.0	13.9	13.7	8.9	10.1	7.9	40.5
	82	67.6	32.4	28.6	71.4	5.6	5.6	16.7	19.4	8.3	11.1	33.3

		ORIGIN				PARTICIPATION RATES													
		ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER	PICNIC	SWIM	MOTOR BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKING/ WALKING	BIKING	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINTS	GUIDED WALKS	DISPLAYS	PHOTO	OTHER
KILLBEAR	75	89.0	0.5	8.3	3.0	57.3	78.4	8.2	4.6	6.7	19.3	2.3	34.0	2.9	57.7	22.4	2.3	4.0	6.5	0.9
	82	81.1	2.8	13.6	3.4	58.4	66.2	2.0	5.4	6.7	79.9	2.0	26.8	2.7	51.0	35.6	3.4	2.0	22.1	58.8
WHEATLEY	75	79.4	0.0	19.3	1.5	68.4	60.5	4.3	11.3	15.8	18.3	7.0	46.1	11.3	62.7	11.0	2.1	6.4	8.4	1.2
	82	86.5	0.0	13.5	0.0	74.4	64.1	2.6	10.3	5.1	61.5	7.7	43.6	25.6	61.5	7.7	2.6	2.6	12.8	66.7

		REASONS FOR CHOOSING PARK										RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS									
		CLOSE TO HOME	SWIMMING	RECOMMENDED BY FRIENDS	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	VISITING FRIENDS	CONVENIENT	CLEAN/ WELL-KEPT	GENERAL INTEREST	OTHER	LEAVE AS IS	WASHROOMS	CONCES- SIONS	BEACH/ SWIM	RECREA- TION	INFORMA- TION	PICNIC AREA	TRAILS	SHOWERS	OTHER
KILLBEAR	75	14.6	7.6	8.6	9.8	6.5	12.4	6.9	0.2	5.5	27.9	11.2	2.8	1.5	4.8	2.7	8.7	8.9	0.8	1.0	57.8
	82	23.6	12.7	10.3	7.3	10.3	7.9	8.5	1.8	3.0	14.5	26.2	12.1	4.7	1.9	3.7	6.5	3.7	8.4	0.9	31.7
WHEATLEY	75	32.9	0.0	3.9	7.4	3.7	11.3	7.2	4.7	4.1	24.8	11.2	0.3	4.5	6.5	5.3	0.3	0.3	3.8	8.6	59.3
	82	11.4	4.5	4.5	2.3	15.9	4.5	2.3	15.9	2.3	36.3	16.7	10.0	3.3	26.7	0.0	3.3	0.0	10.0	0.0	30.1

ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING

		SWIM	PICNIC	CANOE	FISH	HIKE/WALK	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAXING	VIEWPOINTS	VISIT CAMPERS	OTHER
KILLBEAR	75	43.7	4.4	0.0	1.2	4.6	0.2	0.0	3.8	24.2	1.2	0.2	16.3
	82	12.7	5.6	1.6	0.0	10.3	0.8	1.6	4.0	15.9	0.8	0.0	46.8
WHEATLEY	75	30.9	15.1	1.2	3.7	2.3	0.8	2.9	8.9	25.7	0.8	2.7	5.1
	82	20.0	6.7	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	10.0	20.0	0.0	3.3	33.3

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM	VICTORIA
KILLBEAR	75	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.5	0.4	0.2	-	-	0.4	0.2	2.6	1.1	-	0.9	6.3	2.5	8.9	1.3	3.1	1.9	28.8	0.4	0.3
	82	-	0.7	-	1.3	2.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	1.3	1.3	2.7	4.7	4.0	6.7	-	2.7	1.3	29.3	-	0.7
WHEATLEY	75	53.9	19.4	2.9	1.1	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	56.4	15.4	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

5 - 82
DAY USE
- 4 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX & ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING	COCHRANE	MANITOULIN
ILLBEAR	75	0.8	-	0.5	-	-	0.8	-	0.2	0.5	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.6	18.7	2.5	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	0.7	4.0	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	0.7	10.0	0.7	-	-	-
HEATLEY	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-

		ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
ILLBEAR	75	-	0.2	-	-
	82	0.7	0.7	-	-
HEATLEY	75	-	-	-	-
	82	-	2.6	-	-

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE							
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+
BALSAM LAKE	76	58.4	17.9	0.8	21.3	0.0	1.7	0.0	22.1	13.3	26.9	16.6	8.0	5.3	7.8
	82	62.5	18.2	2.3	14.8	1.1	1.1	1.2	23.8	10.7	28.6	10.7	14.3	6.0	4.8
DARLINGTON	76	55.6	25.4	5.2	11.1	0.7	2.0	0.7	29.9	14.1	19.5	11.9	9.4	4.5	10.1
	82	52.2	29.3	1.1	12.0	1.6	3.8	2.3	34.9	14.0	20.3	11.6	8.1	2.3	6.4
LONG POINT	76	58.2	21.9	0.6	16.9	1.3	1.3	1.7	24.7	18.7	25.4	12.8	7.8	2.3	6.6
	82	59.6	21.9	0.7	13.9	1.3	2.6	1.3	25.3	10.7	25.3	13.3	12.0	3.3	8.7
IPPERWASH	76	61.6	16.3	1.6	18.1	1.8	1.2	1.8	20.0	12.1	19.8	17.5	12.3	5.2	11.0
	82	73.6	9.4	0.0	13.2	0.0	3.8	0.0	9.6	11.5	32.7	25.0	11.5	1.9	7.7

76 - 82
DAY USE
- 2 -

		AGE DISTRIBUTION					PREVIOUS VISIT		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		ORIGIN			
		1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+	YES	NO	YES	NO	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	26.7	24.3	33.7	12.8	2.4	61.7	38.8	17.6	82.4	90.9	2.1	2.9	4.1
	82	35.7	18.5	34.3	8.7	2.8	62.5	37.5	22.7	77.3	96.4	2.2	1.1	1.1
DARLINGTON	76	30.4	14.3	37.5	13.0	4.8	50.0	50.0	2.6	97.4	89.0	2.9	5.5	2.6
	82	26.8	13.1	36.4	16.1	7.6	47.5	52.5	1.5	98.5	81.4	5.6	10.7	4.0
LONG POINT	76	27.1	26.3	31.6	12.2	2.9	73.3	26.7	12.8	87.2	93.3	0.1	2.8	3.1
	82	31.0	21.7	34.2	9.2	3.9	80.0	20.0	19.6	80.4	87.3	0.0	11.3	0.0
IPPERWASH	76	31.7	26.7	27.1	12.2	2.4	79.0	21.0	18.9	81.1	84.4	0.1	14.9	0.5
	82	29.1	22.2	32.6	14.8	1.3	78.8	21.2	18.8	81.3	90.4	0.0	9.6	0.0

76 - 82
DAY USE
- 3 -

		TIME SPENT IN PARK (HOURS)							PARTICIPATION RATES											
		0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6+	PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISHING	HIKING	BIKING	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	CONDUCTED WALKS
BALSAM LAKE	76	2.6	9.6	10.7	12.2	22.9	12.6	29.4	75.0	77.0	13.6	6.0	9.1	8.4	1.8	38.8	6.5	63.5	7.1	1.5
	82	4.0	6.7	17.3	24.0	21.3	12.0	14.7	65.9	75.0	13.6	11.4	12.5	8.0	1.1	31.8	6.8	44.3	8.0	1.1
DARLINGTON	76	3.5	16.8	11.6	14.8	19.7	11.4	22.2	71.0	29.4	46.5	5.2	8.7	13.5	1.8	45.3	9.4	53.9	21.9	1.3
	82	14.5	19.7	15.0	17.3	13.3	9.2	11.0	71.4	28.0	1.6	11.0	7.1	12.1	1.6	36.8	7.7	52.2	14.3	1.6
LONG POINT	76	3.7	9.1	11.4	14.7	19.3	12.8	28.9	63.1	77.2	5.9	2.2	10.3	10.5	1.9	36.0	4.4	60.5	9.6	1.6
	82	1.4	6.5	8.6	15.1	20.9	16.5	30.9	74.7	88.7	4.7	2.0	8.0	6.7	1.3	44.7	3.3	59.3	6.7	0.0
IPPERWASH	76	1.6	6.2	9.7	13.7	14.3	13.6	41.0	77.3	82.1	5.3	2.5	2.6	10.5	2.0	49.3	6.3	66.3	12.8	4.2
	82	2.4	0.0	2.4	9.5	23.8	16.7	45.2	75.0	96.2	3.8	5.8	3.8	5.8	3.8	42.3	3.8	55.8	9.6	1.9

76 - 82
DAY USE
- 4 -

		DISPLAYS	STAFF RECREATION	PHOTO	OTHER	ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING: PICNIC	SWIM	FISH	TRAIL HIKE	BIKE	CANOE	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	PHOTO	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	1.2	0.5	3.0	3.1	14.3	36.5	3.9	0.2	0.0	2.7	10.0	26.6	0.2	0.2	5.2
	82	3.4	1.1	8.0	65.9	7.1	32.9	4.3	2.9	0.0	5.7	1.4	8.6	1.4	0.0	35.6
DARLINGTON	76	11.7	0.7	6.3	6.5	19.4	9.9	4.5	3.6	0.3	3.3	22.9	27.2	0.8	1.6	5.7
	82	5.5	0.5	14.8	38.5	11.1	7.6	2.8	2.8	0.0	4.2	12.5	23.6	2.1	1.4	32.0
LONG POINT	76	3.2	0.9	5.4	3.1	4.7	38.8	5.6	3.5	0.2	0.9	7.6	33.1	0.2	1.1	4.4
	82	1.3	1.3	6.7	82.0	2.4	36.5	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	19.1	0.0	0.0	38.9
IPPERWASH	76	2.8	0.8	2.0	5.4	8.0	45.3	0.6	2.9	0.0	1.0	9.4	26.5	0.4	0.2	5.6
	82	0.0	0.0	5.8	88.5	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	52.2

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		CLOSE TO RESIDENCE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN/ WELL-KEPT	BEACH/ SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	25.3	11.0	5.7	6.0	5.5	8.0	6.2	3.9	14.8	3.4	4.5	1.9	3.7
	82	24.6	7.0	7.9	2.6	1.8	2.6	5.3	5.3	18.4	4.4	10.5	1.8	7.9
DARLINGTON	76	44.2	10.8	3.1	2.3	3.9	3.7	7.9	3.0	1.3	3.8	4.8	2.8	8.5
	82	43.3	14.9	1.5	3.1	1.5	1.5	6.2	4.6	2.1	2.1	10.3	4.1	4.6
LONG POINT	76	20.4	2.0	3.3	5.8	6.5	7.8	7.9	5.9	21.6	4.7	4.7	5.2	4.3
	82	20.3	2.6	5.2	3.6	3.1	5.2	5.2	6.3	24.0	4.7	12.5	3.6	3.7
IPPERWASH	76	21.5	3.0	4.5	6.8	3.1	11.4	7.5	5.9	17.5	0.4	8.2	4.5	5.7
	82	13.3	0.0	5.3	2.7	0.0	4.0	8.0	2.7	29.3	0.0	20.0	8.0	6.6

76 - 82
DAY USE
- 6 -

		RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS													
		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOATING	TRAILS	RECREATION PROGRAMMES	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	CAMPSITES	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	14.4	15.1	14.8	2.6	1.1	2.9	1.1	4.4	10.0	7.4	2.2	3.7	10.3	10.9
	82	20.3	8.1	9.5	1.4	4.1	9.5	1.4	4.1	2.7	10.8	0.0	0.0	10.8	17.6
DARLINGTON	76	9.6	4.7	27.8	4.4	0.6	10.1	2.3	2.6	4.7	5.2	1.7	2.8	9.6	13.2
	82	22.2	5.6	25.4	0.0	2.4	8.7	0.8	1.6	2.4	10.3	2.4	0.8	2.4	15.1
LONG POINT	76	16.3	6.9	10.7	2.9	3.6	4.4	0.3	0.9	7.0	15.1	2.0	9.7	8.1	12.2
	82	14.0	10.3	10.3	4.7	2.8	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.9	3.7	8.4	14.9
IPPERWASH	76	9.0	9.5	22.4	1.3	2.0	5.0	0.0	2.5	10.0	12.4	1.4	9.6	0.6	14.2
	82	27.0	13.5	16.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	13.5	2.7	0.0	2.7	18.9

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM
ALSAM AKE	76	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	0.6	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	1.3	3.6	0.5	2.8	-	1.2	7.6	29.7	30.0
	82	1.1	1.1	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	-	-	-	3.4	4.6	-	-	11.4	33.0	19.3
ARLINGTON	76	0.4	0.1	0.5	-	1.0	-	0.1	0.1	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	0.3	1.7	-	2.0	-	0.3	0.7	43.9	33.3
	82	1.1	-	0.5	-	1.1	0.5	0.5	-	-	-	7.1	-	1.1	-	1.1	1.1	-	1.6	-	0.5	2.7	25.5	25.0
NG POINT	76	0.4	0.3	-	-	9.4	6.4	8.7	16.1	0.5	-	-	1.1	5.4	11.1	2.1	17.7	3.5	1.2	-	0.1	-	5.3	0.3
	82	0.7	-	-	-	4.0	2.6	8.6	14.5	-	-	-	1.3	11.8	11.8	3.3	9.2	2.6	4.0	-	-	-	2.0	0.7
PPERWASH	76	2.9	2.8	20.2	0.9	41.2	2.6	-	1.2	3.0	0.7	0.2	0.2	4.2	-	-	0.6	0.5	-	-	-	-	1.3	-
	82	1.9	-	9.4	1.9	41.5	-	-	1.9	-	-	-	1.9	3.8	15.1	3.8	-	1.9	3.8	-	-	-	1.9	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		VICTORIA	MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX & ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING
BALSAM LAKE	76	9.4	0.7	-	0.3	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	12.5	1.1	1.1	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DARLINGTON	76	0.4	-	1.2	-	0.3	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	0.5	-	2.7	0.5	1.1	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	0.5	1.1	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG POINT	76	0.1	-	0.4	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.1
	82	-	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-
IPPERWASH	76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	-	0.1	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		COCHRANE	MANITOULIN	ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
BALSAM LAKE	76	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
DARLINGTON	76	-	-	-	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG POINT	76	-	-	-	0.2	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-
IPPERWASH	76	-	-	0.1	-	0.6	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE (#PERSONS)								AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
HARLESTON LAKE	78	65.0	20.1	0.0	12.8	0.5	1.5	0.0	24.1	15.4	27.4	12.0	10.3	6.8	4.1	28.7	15.9	30.9	17.4	7.1
	82	63.3	16.2	1.9	14.3	1.4	2.9	1.5	20.2	17.2	26.1	13.8	6.9	2.5	11.8	33.3	15.7	34.9	11.2	4.9

		PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		TIME SPENT IN PARK (HOURS)							ORIGIN			
		YES	NO	YES	NO	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6+	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	65.6	34.4	22.2	77.8	2.2	7.4	13.5	13.7	17.8	16.1	25.7	88.7	4.2	6.5	0.5
	82	60.5	39.5	29.4	70.6	3.7	12.7	21.7	15.3	15.9	11.1	19.6	86.5	5.8	9.2	1.0

78 - 82
DAY USE
- 2 -

PARTICIPATION RATES

		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKING	BIKE	STAFF PRESENT.	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	CONDUCTED WALKS	DISPLAYS	STAFF RECREATION	PHOTO	OTHER
HARLESTON LAKE	78	62.4	87.4	4.5	16.9	7.3	26.1	1.2	2.3	2.9	55.9	16.8	0.0	1.6	0.0	4.6	0.0
	82	67.6	77.6	4.3	16.2	8.6	33.8	1.0	4.3	3.8	50.0	20.0	1.0	8.1	1.9	18.6	131.9

- 76 -

ACTIVITY OF MAJOR IMPORTANCE

		PICNIC	SWIM	CANOE	FISH	TRAIL HIKE	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	VISIT CAMPERS	WALK	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	6.8	50.9	5.1	0.4	7.0	0.0	1.8	1.2	21.0	0.0	1.0	1.2	4.0
	82	1.6	33.2	4.3	2.2	12.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	15.2	2.2	0.0	4.9	20.1

78 - 82
DAY USE
- 3 -

REASONS FOR CHOOSING PARK

		CLOSE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN	BEACH/SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET/ UNCROWDED	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	24.9	4.6	8.0	8.1	7.0	1.7	7.8	4.2	14.0	3.5	5.6	3.4	7.0
	82	22.9	2.9	9.1	5.1	2.5	1.8	12.0	4.4	13.8	2.5	13.1	4.0	5.8

REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT

		QUIET	CLEAN	WASHROOMS	STAFF	CONVENIENT	NATURAL SETTING	PICNIC	SWIMMING	TRAILS	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	15.5	7.0	2.3	1.5	0.7	25.0	2.1	22.5	6.5	16.7
	82	16.2	5.8	1.1	0.7	1.4	7.2	4.0	29.9	7.2	26.3

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	1.1	0.6	-	0.8	-	0.5	0.6	-	0.5	0.5	1.3	1.3
	82	-	-	0.5	-	1.4	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	-	-	0.5	4.7	1.4

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		VICTORIA	MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX & ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1.0	22.8	39.6	-	12.2	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.3
	82	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	1.4	-	0.5	20.4	32.2	1.9	9.5	5.7	0.5	1.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)						IMPROVEMENTS												
		COCHRANE	MANITOULIN	ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA	LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOAT	TRAILS	RECREATION	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.9	9.5	10.7	5.0	2.8	5.0	2.2	1.4	1.4	6.3	11.9	8.0	15.8
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.7	3.2	9.5	8.7	4.0	7.1	0.8	0.8	1.6	5.6	0.8	4.0	18.3

EXPENDITURES WITHIN 25 MILES OF PARK
(% of average total expenditures within 25 miles)

		FUEL & TRANSPORTATION	FOOD & DRINK	ENTERTAINMENT	MISCELLANEOUS
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	2.54/9.81 = 26.0%	3.91/9.81 = 40.0%	.70/9.81 = 7.0%	2.66/9.81 = 27.0%
	82	12.22/39.73 = 31.0%	15.38/39.73 = 39.0%	2.55/39.73 = 6.0%	9.78/39.73 = 25.0%

79 - 82
DAY USE
- 1 -

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE (# PEOPLE)								
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	
NEYS	79	56.8	26.6	0.0	10.1	3.6	2.9	4.4	32.4	21.3	21.3	11.8	5.9	0.7	2.2	3.5
	82	48.0	38.5	0.9	8.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	43.8	13.2	19.2	11.4	5.0	2.3	2.7	3.5

	PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		LENGTH OF STAY (HOURS)							AGE DISTRIBUTION				
	YES	NO	YES	NO	0-1	1-2	2-3	3-4	4-5	5-6	6+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
79	37.3	62.7	14.7	85.3	11.2	37.6	18.6	5.6	11.2	6.4	9.6	28.0	14.9	33.2	20.1	3.8
82	23.3	76.7	19.2	80.8	41.7	29.4	11.8	7.4	4.9	1.5	3.4	27.4	11.5	38.5	18.2	4.3

PARTICIPATION RATES

		PICNIC	SWIM	MOTOR BOAT	CANOE	FISH	TRAIL HIKE	BIKE	CASUAL PLAY	PRESEN-TATION	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
NEYS	79	66.9	21.1	1.6	3.1	7.8	27.3	2.3	20.3	2.3	4.7	39.1	26.6	2.3	10.9	0.0	18.8	28.6	0.0
	82	60.5	27.3	1.4	0.9	5.0	25.9	0.9	16.4	0.9	2.3	35.9	30.5	2.3	10.0	0.5	30.9	30.1	18.2

79 - 82
DAY USE
- 2 -

ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME AT

		SWIM	RELAX	PICNIC	WALK	HIKE	CASUAL PLAY	FISH	VIEWPOINT	CANOE	PHOTO	BIKE	OTHER
NEYS	79	5.4	16.3	22.8	2.2	19.6	6.5	4.3	4.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	14.5
	82	7.2	13.3	17.5	17.5	14.5	7.2	2.4	3.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	14.4

REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK

		CLOSE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN	BEACH/SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	RECREATION	QUIET	FRIENDLY	OTHER
NEYS	79	15.9	35.2	3.4	5.5	4.1	3.4	12.4	0.0	2.8	2.1	6.9	2.1	0.0	6.2
	82	16.7	30.8	10.0	0.5	1.4	4.1	11.8	1.4	6.8	0.0	11.3	3.2	0.0	2.3

REASON FOR ENJOYMENT

		QUIET	NATURAL SETTING	WEATHER	BEACH	CLEAN	PICNIC	TRAILS	UNCROWDED	CONVENIENT	OTHER
NEYS	79	16.6	30.6	3.8	5.1	10.8	1.3	8.9	2.5	7.6	12.8
	82	16.0	30.0	8.2	19.4	1.2	2.5	6.6	2.9	0.4	12.6

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	TRAILS	MORE REC. PROGRAMMES	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	CONCESSIONS	ANIMAL CONTROL	CAMPSITES	OTHER
NEYS	79	36.8	3.5	1.8	5.3	7.0	3.5	0.0	7.0	3.5	1.8	3.5	26.4
	82	35.1	3.1	0.0	4.1	2.1	5.2	0.0	10.3	3.1	1.0	0.0	36.1

		EXPENDITURES (% of total average expenditures)				ORIGIN			
		FUEL & TRANSPORTATION	FOOD & DRINK	ENTERTAINMENT	MISCELLANEOUS	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER
EYS	79	2.20/5.76 = 38.0%	2.43/5.76 = 42.0%	.12/5.76 = 2.0%	1.01/5.76 = 18.0%	99.2	0.0	0.0	0.8
	82	12.83/31.83 = 40.0%	8.51/31.83 = 27.0%	.55/31.83 = 2.0%	10.21/31.83 = 32.0%	46.4	12.0	40.2	1.4

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

	ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM	VICTORIA	MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	2.5	1.7	0.8	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.7	14.4	1.7	-	-	-
	0.5	0.5	-	-	1.8	-	0.5	-	-	-	0.9	0.9	-	0.9	-	1.4	1.4	2.3	-	0.9	2.3	5.4	0.5	-	-	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX & ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING	COCHRANE	MANITOULING	ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
	-	-	0.8	0.8	-	-	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.7	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	0.8	0.8	0.8	-	2.5	0.8	3.4	32.2	4.2	18.6
	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	-	4.1	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.5	0.5	-	-	-	0.9	-	0.9	17.2	-	0.5

75 - 82
CAMPERS
- 1 -

		TYPE OF PARTY					PARTY SIZE								AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
ARROWHEAD	75	57.9	24.5	0.0	16.7	0.9	0.2	27.2	10.8	35.5	15.0	6.2	0.5	4.6	31.5	19.8	39.7	8.5	0.5
	82	61.2	26.7	0.7	8.2	3.3	0.9	27.9	15.2	32.3	13.4	6.5	1.2	2.6	32.2	15.4	38.5	11.9	1.9
KILLBEAR	75	49.8	27.9	1.4	20.0	0.9	0.8	31.0	10.6	28.1	12.6	7.5	1.7	7.7	26.8	29.2	33.6	9.6	0.8
	82	58.2	27.7	0.0	9.6	4.5	1.1	29.9	13.8	27.6	14.9	9.8	1.1	1.7	27.4	23.1	37.3	10.7	1.5
CARILLON	75	56.5	25.7	0.3	16.1	1.4	1.0	30.4	10.6	25.9	14.5	9.8	3.5	4.3	31.0	24.7	36.1	8.8	1.4
	82	56.0	32.4	0.4	6.6	4.5	1.3	31.9	15.5	28.9	9.1	5.6	2.2	5.6	29.2	15.8	35.7	16.7	2.7
KAKABEKA FALLS	75	57.5	30.4	0.0	9.0	3.1	3.0	36.7	12.9	21.6	13.5	8.6	1.5	2.4	31.5	17.9	24.9	12.3	2.4
	82	47.9	49.1	0.5	6.0	6.4	4.1	44.9	10.5	22.0	11.0	4.5	1.2	1.9	24.6	15.8	36.9	16.2	5.5
RONDEAU	75	51.3	28.9	1.5	18.3	1.0	0.6	31.5	13.6	25.9	11.1	9.1	2.7	5.5	31.5	29.9	28.1	8.5	2.0
	82	60.2	26.9	0.0	8.7	4.2	2.3	29.3	12.5	24.6	17.2	6.3	2.7	5.1	33.3	17.5	32.8	12.6	3.8
WHEATLEY	75	59.9	15.8	2.3	20.4	1.6	0.7	21.4	12.9	26.3	15.8	10.6	3.4	9.0	31.8	29.0	30.5	8.0	0.7
	82	46.1	35.3	0.9	11.6	6.1	1.3	40.7	11.7	27.7	8.7	3.5	1.3	5.2	24.8	19.4	40.2	13.2	2.4

75 - 82 CAMPERS - 2 -		PREVIOUS VISIT		TYPE OF TRIP			ORIGIN OF CAMPER			
		YES	NO	WEEKEND	VACATION	OTHER	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	75	54.3	45.7	49.5	47.6	2.8	95.8	1.3	2.4	0.2
	82	55.7	44.3	19.6	77.1	3.2	96.8	1.0	1.8	0.5
KILLBEAR	75	56.4	43.6	48.0	45.7	6.3	93.8	1.7	3.9	0.6
	82	69.3	30.7	12.4	84.8	2.8	90.9	1.7	5.1	2.3
CARILLON	75	45.2	54.8	64.2	29.0	6.8	23.3	66.0	8.2	2.5
	82	43.5	56.5	36.2	57.9	6.0	31.8	51.3	15.6	1.3
KAKABEKA FALLS	75	27.6	72.4	11.4	72.3	16.3	47.5	16.9	34.9	0.7
	82	32.1	67.9	4.4	89.0	6.5	43.7	22.5	29.5	4.2
RONDEAU	75	54.3	45.7	57.9	34.0	8.1	63.0	2.1	35.3	1.7
	82	58.0	42.0	23.8	70.8	5.4	73.7	4.6	20.6	1.1
WHEATLEY	75	55.9	44.1	74.7	19.6	5.7	78.9	2.0	18.4	0.7
	82	41.3	58.7	37.2	54.1	8.7	58.3	5.4	35.1	1.4

PARTICIPATION RATES

		PICNIC	SWIM	BOATING	CANOE	FISHING	HIKE/WALK *	BIKE	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	GUIDED WALKS	PHOTO	PRESENTATIONS	DISPLAYS	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	75	17.2	85.0	0.7	26.3	26.1	56.9	10.3	57.3	1.7	81.4	45.1	5.4	35.9	24.1	11.3	0.0
	82	22.5	82.8	1.4	34.6	0.0	146.6	14.8	46.9	3.9	84.9	61.0	4.2	30.1	14.2	15.5	81.3
KILLBEAR	75	21.3	84.8	15.9	22.8	23.9	39.7	8.6	51.6	5.3	65.4	46.5	5.9	29.1	18.1	17.2	0.0
	82	33.5	92.6	14.2	28.4	0.0	148.3	17.6	58.0	4.5	87.0	59.3	6.8	32.2	24.6	9.7	92.6
CARILLON	75	27.5	72.5	2.5	9.6	14.9	23.2	9.2	43.3	30.4	71.9	13.3	1.8	20.8	3.0	3.7	0.0
	82	34.8	61.9	4.3	11.9	0.0	99.6	18.1	43.8	27.1	76.2	26.2	6.2	21.0	17.6	8.6	56.2
KAKABEKA FALLS	75	31.2	42.9	1.2	1.7	4.2	29.4	5.3	32.5	3.7	68.6	69.4	2.3	33.2	1.0	14.8	0.0
	82	26.2	23.0	0.7	1.7	0.0	83.7	5.7	23.5	3.0	63.2	77.3	1.7	31.9	0.6	28.1	15.5
RONDEAU	75	36.8	67.8	11.8	7.1	20.9	34.8	28.1	52.6	15.9	66.1	26.5	8.0	32.0	11.5	25.6	0.0
	82	36.1	78.7	9.9	7.1	0.0	105.6	46.6	46.6	13.4	78.7	33.2	8.7	32.0	15.4	23.3	71.5
WHEATLEY	75	40.8	64.8	3.6	11.3	31.7	37.6	16.1	60.1	5.8	71.0	20.2	1.8	16.5	0.2	2.6	0.0
	82	39.7	67.0	0.4	17.4	17.6	95.3	10.4	38.3	19.6	75.7	27.4	1.3	23.5	0.4	6.1	54.7

* includes both non-guided hiking and walking in 1982

75 - 82
CAMPERS
- 4 -

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		CLOSE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN/ WELL-KEPT	BEACH/ SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	75	11.7	10.0	18.3	2.7	4.3	12.0	5.0	2.0	2.7	1.0	1.3	3.0	26.0
	82	7.6	8.7	13.0	2.5	3.4	8.2	5.9	1.8	7.5	3.2	21.4	5.6	11.2
KILLBEAR	75	4.8	4.3	22.6	1.8	3.0	17.3	12.8	3.3	9.5	3.0	0.8	2.0	15.1
	82	5.2	2.2	10.1	0.7	1.5	9.7	14.2	1.9	17.2	3.0	19.1	2.6	12.3
CARILLON	75	26.6	23.8	11.9	2.2	2.4	2.0	4.0	5.2	2.8	1.0	0.0	2.6	15.7
	82	22.1	12.9	9.8	2.2	1.3	0.6	6.3	5.0	1.6	0.6	8.2	9.8	19.6
KAKABEKA FALLS	75	12.2	23.6	12.8	2.3	2.1	3.8	20.0	3.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	18.8
	82	12.8	22.3	9.1	0.8	4.7	2.3	25.6	1.4	0.4	0.0	10.1	1.4	9.1
RONDEAU	75	25.2	13.1	9.5	1.6	5.6	12.5	6.6	1.6	4.3	0.7	1.6	3.6	14.1
	82	20.8	8.1	5.9	1.7	2.5	5.9	10.1	1.4	8.7	5.1	12.9	2.0	14.9
WHEATLEY	75	38.2	10.7	5.2	2.1	2.1	7.7	6.9	2.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	3.4	15.9
	82	35.1	4.8	6.1	0.6	4.2	3.5	6.7	3.5	7.3	2.2	11.5	2.6	11.8

		RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS													
		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH	BOAT	TRAILS	RECREATION PROGRAMMES	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	CAMPSITES	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	OTHER
ARROWHEAD	75	15.8	0.8	3.8	4.2	3.8	4.2	2.5	2.5	10.4	27.5	5.4	8.8	6.3	4.2
	82	3.2	1.3	1.7	4.3	4.2	6.5	5.5	2.5	3.3	34.7	1.0	7.5	3.5	20.6
KILLBEAR	75	13.7	0.0	0.6	0.9	4.0	4.0	1.6	9.3	22.4	16.8	5.3	9.0	1.6	10.6
	82	1.5	0.5	3.0	2.5	5.0	3.5	2.5	2.5	8.4	29.7	1.5	10.9	0.5	28.3
CARILLON	75	12.8	1.0	3.0	2.8	5.0	3.0	1.8	3.3	3.5	38.1	2.0	10.8	4.5	8.6
	82	0.0	2.4	10.7	4.7	3.6	4.1	3.0	1.8	3.0	29.6	2.4	7.7	5.3	22.0
KAKABEKA FALLS	75	17.0	0.6	1.8	0.9	2.1	5.5	5.8	9.4	5.2	24.8	2.7	10.9	2.1	11.2
	82	13.3	2.7	3.4	0.4	2.3	5.7	2.7	5.3	0.8	28.4	2.3	9.5	0.8	22.8
RONDEAU	75	5.3	0.4	3.1	1.8	1.8	2.7	1.8	3.6	11.6	28.4	8.0	18.2	0.4	12.9
	82	1.5	0.6	0.6	2.1	1.5	6.0	0.3	0.9	6.6	46.3	3.3	6.0	0.6	23.6
WHEATLEY	75	9.9	0.5	1.1	2.2	2.7	6.6	0.0	1.6	30.2	19.8	7.7	9.3	0.0	8.2
	82	11.7	2.0	6.6	1.0	4.1	6.6	1.5	3.6	5.1	10.6	4.6	10.7	1.0	31.0

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE								AGE DISTRIBUTIONS				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
BALSAM LAKE	76	55.9	26.9	0.7	15.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	32.5	11.2	32.5	13.5	7.5	0.7	1.6	25.3	38.4	28.0	6.5	1.9
	82	56.2	28.1	0.3	12.7	1.5	1.2	1.5	31.7	14.2	29.9	8.2	7.6	2.1	4.8	26.8	21.3	37.3	11.4	3.1
DARLINGTON	76	50.1	37.4	0.6	8.8	1.3	1.7	1.3	41.7	13.5	25.5	10.3	3.5	2.0	2.2	27.4	21.9	31.7	15.2	3.8
	82	47.1	40.7	0.4	8.6	1.9	1.2	1.9	43.3	12.3	23.5	11.2	4.4	1.9	1.5	25.2	16.6	33.6	18.9	5.8
WHITE LAKE	76	54.1	31.6	0.0	11.5	2.4	0.4	2.4	39.6	13.4	20.0	11.9	10.3	1.3	1.1	28.1	19.0	32.2	16.8	3.8
	82	56.0	37.5	0.6	3.4	1.9	0.6	1.9	39.7	13.5	26.6	9.9	4.5	1.6	2.2	27.6	11.4	34.7	20.2	6.1
GREEN-WATER	76	50.5	35.3	0.2	10.4	2.6	1.0	2.9	42.6	17.2	18.1	10.5	5.1	1.4	2.1	31.8	17.9	34.9	13.3	2.9
	82	50.9	35.8	0.9	6.7	2.7	3.0	2.8	44.0	13.5	23.2	8.9	2.8	0.9	4.0	26.1	15.6	36.7	17.2	4.4
SAUBLE FALLS	76	38.0	36.9	1.5	20.5	2.9	0.7	2.9	48.4	14.4	18.7	7.1	5.3	1.5	1.7	21.4	42.4	28.2	6.3	1.7
	82	47.5	34.8	0.0	12.3	3.7	1.6	3.7	41.7	16.2	22.9	7.9	2.5	1.7	3.3	27.5	24.5	37.1	8.9	2.0
LONG POINT	76	56.4	29.2	0.0	11.9	1.2	1.3	1.5	33.3	14.8	24.8	11.3	7.6	2.7	4.0	30.1	25.2	33.2	9.4	2.1
	82	54.7	18.8	0.0	20.5	1.7	4.3	1.7	24.8	14.5	29.9	9.4	9.4	6.0	4.3	28.2	24.8	31.8	13.2	2.0

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 2 -

		PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		PERMIT RENEWED		TYPE OF TRIP			ORIGIN			
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	WEEKEND	VACATION	OTHER	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	60.1	39.9	68.0	32.9	46.7	53.3	56.0	37.2	6.9	97.1	0.5	2.4	0.0
	82	55.2	44.8	95.0	5.0	44.8	55.2	32.3	63.7	3.9	97.6	0.0	1.8	0.6
DARLINGTON	76	40.5	59.5	54.7	45.3	37.1	62.9	32.9	51.1	16.3	58.4	9.7	30.2	1.7
	82	30.9	69.1	87.8	12.2	30.4	69.6	19.2	72.9	7.9	52.7	10.5	33.5	3.3
WHITE LAKE	76	24.7	75.3	48.5	51.5	14.3	85.7	10.1	73.1	16.8	50.8	16.7	30.7	1.8
	82	19.5	80.5	100.0	0.0	22.0	78.0	1.9	88.9	9.2	44.0	13.7	40.2	1.9
GREENWATER	76	28.8	71.2	51.6	48.4	25.6	74.4	25.3	66.4	8.4	77.2	5.0	15.0	2.8
	82	28.9	71.1	79.6	20.4	34.4	65.6	15.2	79.4	5.5	79.3	5.1	12.2	3.3
SAUBLE FALLS	76	36.6	63.4	51.5	48.5	33.3	66.7	41.4	56.8	1.8	84.9	2.6	10.3	2.2
	82	36.5	63.5	93.5	6.5	31.0	69.0	21.0	73.7	5.3	90.3	3.0	6.3	0.4
LONG POINT	76	60.8	39.2	63.2	36.8	38.7	61.5	55.5	40.3	4.2	85.6	1.5	11.0	1.9
	82	64.6	35.4	88.2	11.8	62.7	37.3	23.5	69.6	7.0	81.8	3.5	13.9	0.9

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 3 -

		PARTICIPATION RATES																
		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKING	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINTS	STAFF REC.	GUIDED WALKS	DISPLAYS	PRESENTATIONS	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	31.3	82.7	19.7	12.4	31.6	30.1	15.5	21.8	64.4	5.6	72.7	17.1	3.5	3.4	4.3	5.0	8.3
	82	27.6	72.8	19.9	18.1	24.4	33.8	15.1	20.8	51.1	18.7	83.7	29.6	6.3	2.7	9.1	12.1	138.3
DARLINGTON	76	25.9	23.7	0.2	1.7	5.0	29.9	11.0	25.1	44.3	5.9	71.4	34.8	3.6	1.8	20.3	5.9	3.0
	82	27.1	19.7	0.7	6.9	0.0	24.4	9.6	24.6	32.4	14.8	74.5	34.0	3.6	1.6	10.7	3.6	90.4
WHITE LAKE	76	27.9	50.4	10.1	12.9	21.4	27.5	6.5	22.0	24.2	2.9	66.0	18.9	4.4	2.3	7.9	13.0	5.3
	82	19.0	41.5	6.1	10.6	45.1	37.0	8.7	25.2	26.8	15.2	68.7	19.0	11.6	6.5	9.0	19.7	83.3
GREENWATER	76	19.4	47.8	5.3	22.8	36.9	41.8	7.9	34.1	32.7	2.4	59.4	36.4	5.6	3.0	6.1	6.8	2.5
	82	26.4	45.9	1.3	33.4	100.0	52.9	8.0	38.2	29.3	4.5	74.8	43.8	4.5	1.9	17.9	8.6	102.2
SAUBLE FALLS	76	28.0	59.4	4.7	15.4	24.7	32.6	7.5	24.8	42.9	5.3	73.4	35.6	4.7	3.1	11.8	9.3	7.6
	82	28.9	49.1	2.6	17.5	0.0	47.4	8.3	23.2	50.4	9.6	84.6	56.6	6.6	0.4	21.1	24.6	116.6
LONG POINT	76	29.7	76.1	25.9	8.0	40.8	25.4	10.2	23.2	51.2	1.6	67.4	16.3	4.3	5.5	12.3	5.0	4.8
	82	27.2	90.4	28.1	10.5	0.0	14.9	16.7	24.6	57.9	16.7	75.4	23.7	2.6	1.8	7.9	25.7	152.6

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 4 -

		ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME AT												TYPE OF EQUIPMENT								
		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKE/WALK	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	OTHER	TENT	TENT TRAILER	TRAVEL TRAILER	DINING SHELTER	'Y' STORE	TRUCK/ CAMPER	VAN	MOTOR HOME	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	0.9	33.6	0.0	3.2	8.9	2.9	2.4	1.4	10.1	30.0	0.2	6.2	51.3	31.7	12.6	18.5	58.9	5.7	7.2	1.8	1.7
	82	1.1	17.6	3.5	1.4	7.0	1.1	2.1	0.4	4.2	35.2	0.0	26.5	53.5	29.4	9.0	0.3	71.2	5.4	7.2	4.2	2.7
DARLINGTON	76	2.7	4.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	9.8	4.8	1.8	14.2	40.6	6.5	14.6	40.7	20.3	22.9	6.1	41.0	8.9	10.1	5.9	1.8
	82	0.6	1.5	0.0	0.3	0.9	2.4	3.0	1.2	6.0	43.5	4.2	36.1	42.2	19.5	15.6	0.0	59.7	3.3	8.6	10.9	0.8
WHITE LAKE	76	0.8	13.7	1.5	1.6	12.1	11.3	1.9	2.2	5.7	32.9	0.0	16.4	42.5	25.8	15.1	6.4	42.0	12.3	12.5	3.3	1.2
	82	0.9	7.8	1.3	4.3	9.1	6.5	0.9	1.3	3.0	32.0	0.4	31.6	34.7	22.6	13.6	0.3	57.3	10.5	13.0	14.2	0.3
GREENWATER	76	2.2	10.8	0.0	6.2	14.9	11.9	2.3	3.3	9.3	28.6	1.7	8.8	45.0	27.0	13.1	6.3	44.6	10.2	10.0	3.1	2.0
	82	0.8	4.8	0.0	9.1	9.5	13.9	0.8	1.2	2.0	31.3	1.2	25.1	45.8	20.5	16.6	0.0	66.0	7.8	10.8	3.3	2.4
SAUBLE FALLS	76	2.1	24.4	0.0	2.5	4.7	6.2	3.3	1.8	5.3	32.7	3.1	13.9	59.8	18.6	6.7	4.5	50.2	7.7	11.2	3.6	2.0
	82	0.0	5.0	0.0	4.0	5.4	3.5	1.5	0.0	6.4	34.2	3.0	36.7	59.4	20.9	3.3	0.0	61.9	6.1	7.0	2.9	0.4
LONG POINT	76	2.6	28.5	0.3	2.7	16.4	3.9	1.3	0.3	9.2	29.8	0.0	5.1	49.0	25.5	16.6	11.7	44.5	8.6	8.8	5.0	2.0
	82	0.0	23.5	5.1	0.0	9.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	26.7	1.0	23.6	53.8	27.4	16.2	0.0	63.2	6.0	8.5	1.7	4.3

EXPENDITURES (within 25 miles of park)

		FUEL & TRANSPORTATION	FOOD & DRINK	ENTERTAINMENT	MISCELLANEOUS
BALSAM LAKE	76	9.24/39.83 = 23.0%	23.61/39.83 = 59.0%	4.14/39.83 = 10.0%	8.0%
	82	23.23/96.98 = 24.0%	43.19/96.98 = 45.0%	9.93/96.98 = 10.0%	20.62/96.98 = 21.0%
DARLINGTON	76	9.16/30.04 = 30.0%	13.77/30.04 = 46.0%	4.72/30.04 = 16.0%	8.0%
	82	21.48/83.46 = 26.0%	27.53/83.46 = 33.0%	17.54/83.46 = 21.0%	16.91/83.46 = 20.0%
WHITE LAKE	76	7.42/17.67 = 42.0%	7.07/17.67 = 40.0%	.80/17.67 = 5.0%	13.0%
	82	28.22/64.09 = 44.0%	20.85/64.09 = 33.0%	1.33/64.09 = 2.0%	13.69/64.09 = 21.0%
GREENWATER	76	10.37/35.85 = 29.0%	13.62/35.85 = 38.0%	7.91/35.85 = 22.0%	11.0%
	82	39.81/110.34 = 36.0%	31.79/110.34 = 29.0%	25.19/110.34 = 23.0%	13.55/110.34 = 12.0%
SAUBLE FALLS	76	8.87/39.93 = 22.0%	19.73/39.93 = 49.0%	6.82/39.93 = 17.0%	12.0%
	82	13.49/68.03 = 20.0%	30.91/68.03 = 45.0%	9.93/38.03 = 15.0%	13.70/68.03 = 20.0%
LONG POINT	76	8.31/35.44 = 23.0%	18.90/35.44 = 53.0%	2.80/35.44 = 8.0%	16.0%
	82	18.77/109.30 = 17.0%	52.93/109.30 = 48.0%	4.52/109.30 = 4.0%	33.09/109.30 = 30.0%

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 6 -

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		CLOSE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN/ WELL KEPT	BEACH/SWIM	AQUATIC REC.	RECREATION ACTIVITIES	QUIET	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	23.1	3.4	11.1	3.3	2.2	8.2	8.4	4.5	10.3	4.7	0.7	1.7	18.5
	82	18.2	5.6	11.1	1.5	2.8	4.5	5.4	3.6	10.5	5.8	17.3	4.1	9.6
DARLINGTON	76	30.3	21.0	6.6	2.3	0.5	4.1	7.4	4.6	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.5	21.0
	82	30.8	14.5	6.0	1.2	1.5	1.8	6.7	3.2	1.3	0.0	6.0	2.8	24.1
WHITE LAKE	76	8.2	32.9	7.5	2.1	0.9	6.1	8.2	1.3	3.9	4.7	0.3	2.5	21.5
	82	2.6	41.7	8.1	1.3	1.0	3.1	3.7	0.3	4.2	7.9	11.5	1.3	13.2
GREENWATER	76	22.1	22.3	6.0	0.7	2.8	2.8	8.0	2.8	1.4	5.4	1.1	5.4	19.1
	82	20.6	17.2	8.1	1.6	3.4	4.4	7.0	1.3	1.0	5.5	7.8	5.5	16.6
SAUBLE FALLS	76	3.4	10.5	5.1	1.6	4.5	6.5	13.4	5.4	11.3	2.7	0.3	3.5	32.0
	82	1.5	9.0	3.3	0.9	1.8	3.9	8.1	7.2	9.3	4.5	14.5	3.3	32.5
LONG POINT	76	15.5	3.4	5.6	2.4	3.2	5.6	7.6	3.2	19.5	15.0	1.6	3.8	13.7
	82	19.1	0.5	4.4	3.3	6.0	4.4	8.2	3.8	23.0	9.8	7.7	5.5	4.3

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 7 -

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOATING	TRAILS	REC. PRO-GRAMMES	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	CAMPSITES	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	OTHER
BALSAM LAKE	76	3.1	1.3	4.3	5.0	4.3	9.8	1.5	1.4	21.3	12.1	1.8	22.1	0.8	11.1
	82	9.9	1.5	3.0	6.0	7.2	7.5	4.2	2.1	10.2	8.3	4.8	6.6	0.9	27.6
DARLINGTON	76	9.3	1.4	17.6	0.3	0.6	10.2	2.4	4.4	4.2	10.3	13.1	13.1	5.1	8.1
	82	10.1	0.0	14.4	1.3	2.3	5.8	3.5	3.3	2.5	25.8	2.8	6.8	2.0	19.3
WHITE LAKE	76	13.3	0.8	1.8	4.1	2.0	1.5	3.0	11.4	5.1	22.2	10.8	12.9	4.1	7.1
	82	15.9	1.2	1.6	2.4	2.8	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.4	9.6	6.4	13.9	1.2	34.7
GREENWATER	76	11.6	0.6	4.4	7.8	2.4	4.8	3.3	2.5	10.4	13.1	9.5	17.0	4.9	7.8
	82	13.6	1.9	2.9	4.4	5.3	7.8	1.9	3.9	1.5	12.2	1.9	6.3	2.9	33.5
SAUBLE FALLS	76	9.2	1.5	3.5	0.9	3.3	5.8	1.4	3.3	11.0	31.5	7.8	14.5	0.8	5.5
	82	3.7	0.9	4.1	0.5	5.0	8.3	2.8	0.9	9.2	22.5	4.1	6.4	0.5	31.2
LONG POINT	76	3.2	2.8	2.3	2.6	1.0	5.2	0.9	2.2	10.1	28.2	6.4	20.9	0.8	20.0
	82	6.9	2.3	1.5	2.3	2.3	9.9	1.5	1.5	3.1	32.8	9.9	4.6	1.5	19.9

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 8 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM	VICTORIA
BALSAM LAKE	76	1.0	0.3	0.4	-	0.7	0.1	1.1	0.6	-	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	-	3.5	2.1	3.1	4.3	0.2	4.0	7.7	36.9	19.6	4.0
	82	2.4	0.6	0.3	-	3.6	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	5.4	0.3	3.0	0.9	5.1	4.5	3.0	5.4	0.6	2.4	5.1	29.1	12.3	1.2
DARLINGTON	76	2.8	1.6	0.7	0.2	2.6	0.2	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.8	0.1	0.6	1.3	1.7	0.6	-	0.6	0.8	17.4	9.9	0.3
	82	1.9	0.4	0.2	-	3.7	0.2	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	0.8	0.8	1.7	0.4	2.3	1.0	0.4	1.0	-	0.8	0.8	10.1	6.6	0.8
WHITE LAKE	76	0.5	0.8	1.0	0.4	1.9	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.3	-	0.6	1.0	1.2	0.5	1.6	3.3	0.7	1.7	-	1.5	1.5	8.3	1.0	-
	82	1.2	0.6	0.6	-	1.6	-	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.9	1.9	1.9	0.3	0.3	2.2	2.5	1.6	0.3	2.2	2.1	3.7	0.9	0.3
GREENWATER	76	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.1	2.7	0.2	1.1	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.5	0.6	1.5	0.9	2.6	2.6	1.3	1.0	-	2.1	1.8	10.1	3.2	0.2
	82	0.9	0.3	0.6	0.3	1.2	0.3	1.5	0.3	-	-	1.2	1.2	3.9	1.5	3.3	2.1	1.6	2.7	0.6	3.0	1.2	9.9	0.6	-
SAUBLE FALLS	76	4.8	2.6	3.5	1.2	5.4	0.5	1.6	2.0	1.3	2.0	0.8	4.3	8.7	0.8	4.4	6.0	2.4	3.9	1.0	2.2	1.9	11.6	3.1	0.3
	82	4.5	2.5	2.5	0.4	2.9	-	0.8	2.9	0.4	1.2	1.6	6.2	8.6	1.6	3.3	6.6	5.3	6.2	0.8	2.5	1.2	16.4	2.9	-
LONG POINT	76	2.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	10.3	9.6	6.0	9.6	0.9	-	-	1.5	4.7	6.4	6.6	11.9	4.1	1.1	0.3	0.3	-	4.7	-	-
	82	1.7	-	-	-	6.8	5.1	6.8	7.7	-	-	-	3.4	7.7	14.5	2.6	6.0	3.4	0.9	-	1.7	-	5.1	-	-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX-ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING	COCHRANE	MANITOULIN
BALSAM LAKE	76	0.8	1.1	0.8	1.0	-	0.4	-	-	0.3	0.1	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	0.2	-	0.2	-
	82	-	1.2	1.8	-	-	0.3	-	0.3	1.2	0.3	-	0.6	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	0.3	-
DARLING-TON	76	-	0.2	1.6	0.3	-	1.6	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.0	0.2	2.1	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	-	-	0.2	-	0.5	-	0.6	-
	82	0.2	-	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.2	0.2	1.0	1.0	0.4	5.8	0.2	0.2	0.8	0.2	-	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.8	0.2	-	-
WHITE LAKE	76	0.4	-	0.5	0.6	0.2	-	0.3	-	1.0	-	-	2.9	0.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	1.2	-	0.7	-
	82	0.3	-	0.3	0.6	-	-	0.3	-	0.6	0.6	-	1.6	0.3	-	0.3	0.3	-	-	0.9	-	1.9	0.3	0.9	0.3
GREEN-WATER	76	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.4	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	-	2.7	0.1	-	0.1	0.4	-	-	1.0	0.1	1.3	1.9	26.7	0.1
	82	0.3	0.6	1.2	-	0.3	0.9	-	-	-	0.3	0.3	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.8	22.6	-
SAUBLE FALLS	76	-	-	0.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.6	-	0.9	0.3	-	1.0	-	-	-	3.0	-	-	0.3	-	0.8	0.1	0.2	-
	82	-	0.4	0.8	0.4	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LONG POINT	76	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.3	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

76 - 82
CAMPERS
- 10 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
BALSAM LAKE	76	0.3	-	-	-
	82	0.3	0.3	-	0.3
DARLINGTON	76	0.4	0.5	-	-
	82	0.4	0.8	-	0.2
WHITE LAKE	76	2.1	10.3	-	0.3
	82	2.5	4.6	-	0.6
GREENWATER	76	1.5	0.4	-	-
	82	1.2	1.5	0.3	0.3
SAUBLE FALLS	76	0.3	0.2	-	0.3
	82	0.4	0.4	-	-
LONG POINT	76	-	0.3	-	-
	82	-	0.9	-	-

77 - 82 CAMPERS - 1 -

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE								AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
OBATANGA	77	55.1	32.4	0.8	6.6	4.0	1.1	4.2	38.3	12.3	21.6	12.4	6.3	1.3	3.6	30.1	17.0	35.1	13.9	3.9
	82	50.9	38.9	0.1	5.0	2.8	2.2	2.8	44.2	14.9	22.6	9.5	3.7	1.1	1.2	24.7	12.4	35.5	21.1	6.4
WAKAMI LAKE	77	58.9	27.2	0.1	10.3	1.4	2.1	0.1	34.7	16.1	24.9	13.3	6.3	1.7	3.0	29.8	12.3	32.7	21.3	3.9
	82	51.8	29.9	1.4	10.8	2.5	3.6	2.5	35.1	13.0	21.7	10.1	7.6	4.0	5.8	25.8	12.3	37.1	19.8	4.9
IPPERWASH	77	57.2	20.9	0.6	17.9	2.1	1.3	2.1	27.0	13.1	29.8	13.1	8.8	2.9	3.3	29.8	29.9	29.0	10.0	1.3
	82.	61.5	23.4	0.0	12.0	0.5	2.6	0.5	26.1	16.5	28.7	13.3	8.0	1.6	5.3	33.8	15.6	36.1	10.3	4.2

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 2 -

		PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		VISITED PARK LAST YEAR		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		CAMPSITE PERMIT RENEWAL		NUMBER OF TIMES RENEWED						
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	1	2	3	4	5	6	7+
OBATANGA	77	19.2	80.8	13.3	86.7	11.4	88.6	18.9	81.1	72.1	14.1	5.7	5.0	2.4	0.7	0.3
	82	21.3	78.7	45.5	54.5	95.5	4.5	19.7	80.3	63.6	18.4	13.2	3.3	2.5	0.0	0.0
WAKAMI LAKE	77	59.2	40.8	41.0	59.0	38.2	61.8	57.1	42.9	58.7	25.0	11.7	2.5	0.2	1.3	0.6
	82	44.6	55.4	57.7	42.3	96.2	3.8	47.0	53.0	68.6	21.2	5.9	1.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
IPPERWASH	77	67.3	32.7	40.1	59.9	41.2	58.8	45.9	54.1	62.3	19.2	11.0	6.2	0.7	0.0	0.7
	82	67.4	32.6	71.2	28.8	86.4	13.6	41.0	59.0	54.3	18.6	18.6	5.7	1.4	1.4	0.0

7 - 82
AMPERS
- 3 -

		CAMPING EQUIPMENT									ORIGIN				CAMPING ROLE					
		TENT	TENT TRAILER	TRAVEL TRAILER	DINING SHELTER	STOVE	TRUCK CAMPER	VAN	MOTOR HOME	OTHER	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER	WEEKEND	VACATION	OTHER	MAIN DESTINATION	ONE OF SEVERAL DESTINATIONS	STOPOVER
BATANGA	77	38.8	20.8	16.0	4.5	49.7	13.4	12.1	5.2	3.6	48.0	15.0	34.1	2.8	8.4	81.9	9.7	16.8	18.9	64.2
	82	38.2	19.7	16.2	0.0	58.0	11.1	10.3	10.4	1.8	51.1	13.0	34.8	1.0	4.9	89.0	6.1	12.2	22.9	64.9
AKAMI LAKE	77	32.8	18.2	22.1	15.0	54.1	19.8	9.9	10.6	0.4	39.4	0.7	58.4	1.5	9.3	87.6	3.0	75.5	18.1	6.3
	82	39.8	15.8	21.5	0.0	65.9	15.4	7.9	7.2	1.1	60.5	0.4	37.7	1.4	8.3	89.2	2.5	47.3	39.7	13.0
PPERWASH	77	42.2	27.4	19.9	10.9	54.4	4.9	5.7	4.7	0.8	73.6	0.4	25.8	0.2	39.1	57.0	3.9	86.6	8.9	4.5
	82	45.4	34.0	16.0	0.0	66.5	5.7	10.8	6.2	0.0	81.3	1.0	17.7	0.0	19.6	73.7	6.7	79.8	15.0	5.2

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 4 -

PARTICIPATION RATES

		PICNIC	SWIM	BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKE	BIKE	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINTS	GUIDED WALKS	DISPLAYS	STAFF REC.	PHOTO	STAFF PRESENT.	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	26.2	41.2	5.9	20.0	33.5	40.1	5.6	34.3	3.4	69.6	22.1	6.8	9.0	4.3	18.2	30.2	17.1
	82	21.9	35.3	5.8	17.9	47.3	30.1	6.4	19.3	3.6	72.3	17.9	6.1	7.7	10.0	27.2	17.1	77.1
WAKAMI LAKE	77	21.4	47.9	49.4	21.9	85.3	46.0	9.1	33.4	4.5	70.5	53.4	12.1	49.4	5.3	17.4	36.0	13.2
	82	18.4	62.8	48.3	29.5	32.1	48.3	9.2	27.6	3.8	80.5	55.9	8.8	58.6	17.6	35.2	40.0	105.8
IPPERWASH	77	24.5	94.1	3.9	3.5	10.3	27.3	20.4	67.8	9.2	79.4	23.1	1.6	8.6	11.9	6.5	18.4	19.6
	82	32.6	93.5	6.6	8.2	0.0	16.3	19.6	62.5	7.6	86.4	24.5	1.1	12.5	9.2	13.6	11.4	161.4

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 5 -

		ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME AT											
		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKE	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	1.2	7.9	0.2	5.2	10.6	7.0	1.2	2.1	2.8	31.6	1.0	0.4
	82	0.2	5.7	0.6	7.0	6.1	6.6	0.6	1.0	4.5	33.0	0.2	33.5
WAKAMI LAKE	77	1.1	7.0	2.2	2.3	53.9	3.4	0.0	0.8	3.9	11.9	1.5	0.4
	82	0.4	5.9	3.0	7.2	23.3	5.1	1.7	2.1	0.8	22.4	0.7	17.7
IPPERWASH	77	0.3	43.7	0.2	0.0	0.3	1.2	1.7	0.3	4.6	31.7	0.3	0.0
	82	0.6	31.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	4.7	20.6	0.0	38.3

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 6 -

EXPENDITURES WITHIN 25 MILES OF PARK

		ENTERTAINMENT	FOOD & DRINK	FUEL & TRANSPORT	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	2.37/17.26 = 14.0%	6.05/17.26 = 35.0%	7.67/17.26 = 44.0%	7.0%
	82	3.28/63.99 = 5.0%	19.06/63.99 = 30.0%	29.67/63.99 = 46%	12.33/63.99 = 19.0%
WAKAMI LAKE	77	1.03/50.04 = 2.0%	25.86/50.04 = 52.0%	17.39/50.04 = 35.0%	11.0%
	82	3.37/97.84 = 3.0%	38.49/97.84 = 39.0%	30.57/97.84 = 31.0%	25.72/97.84 = 26.0%
IPPERWASH	77	3.53/35.93 = 10.0%	21.08/35.93 = 59.0%	5.36/35.93 = 15.0%	16.0%
	82	6.95/75.39 = 9.0%	36.00/75.39 = 48.0%	12.82/75.39 = 17.0%	19.62/75.39 = 26.0%

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 7 -

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		CLOSE	CONVENIENT STOP	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN/ WELL-KEPT	BEACH/ SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	13.0	13.9	6.0	5.4	0.0	9.3	15.3	1.5	0.9	1.3	11.6	6.6	15.2
	82	2.5	31.8	5.4	0.4	2.0	4.3	7.7	0.7	2.5	4.8	17.2	2.5	18.2
WAKAMI LAKE	77	3.1	22.8	13.0	1.1	0.5	4.8	17.4	0.0	1.5	4.0	9.0	8.9	13.9
	82	1.6	7.4	12.3	2.2	6.3	6.0	8.5	1.4	2.2	21.1	11.5	5.8	13.7
IPPERWASH	77	1.8	4.3	12.8	2.0	0.8	3.3	12.4	0.0	0.2	5.5	29.7	0.2	27.0
	82	12.8	1.7	5.2	3.1	1.0	4.2	5.2	2.8	28.1	0.0	13.2	1.7	20.8

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 8 -

REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT OF PARK

		QUIET	CLEAN	NATURAL SETTING	CAMPSITES	SWIMMING	OTHER AQUATIC	STAFF	CONVENIENT FACILITIES	WASHROOMS	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	18.0	2.6	28.6	13.8	4.4	5.1	2.3	1.4	1.0	22.8
	82	16.8	6.2	14.6	12.5	4.1	4.4	2.7	3.8	3.1	29.1
WAKAMI LAKE	77	14.3	4.2	30.1	16.4	2.0	1.7	3.4	5.6	1.5	20.8
	82	16.2	3.7	13.2	7.7	2.8	5.5	5.1	1.6	0.2	36.2
IPPERWASH	77	16.2	5.7	17.9	9.6	4.0	16.9	6.4	0.8	0.2	22.3
	82	15.4	3.7	2.6	7.7	8.1	37.0	2.9	0.4	3.7	15.0

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 9 -

		RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS													
		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOATING	TRAILS	RECREATIONAL PROGRAMME	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	CAMPSITES	OTHER
OBATANGA	77	6.8	0.8	10.8	4.4	6.5	1.4	2.3	2.5	4.8	12.8	13.7	3.9	12.9	1.0
	82	10.7	0.6	1.9	1.7	2.5	4.1	1.3	3.0	3.8	14.6	11.8	1.3	5.0	37.8
WAKAMI LAKE	77	15.9	1.9	1.2	1.4	3.9	3.9	4.3	8.5	7.2	12.4	16.5	2.9	8.5	0.8
	82	0.8	1.6	3.2	7.7	2.4	4.8	0.4	1.2	1.6	6.9	12.9	0.8	2.4	53.2
IPPERWASH	77	8.5	0.2	3.2	5.3	2.6	4.9	2.3	1.7	2.8	15.7	18.1	2.8	8.9	1.0
	82	2.8	0.5	1.4	0.5	0.9	8.1	1.4	0.9	5.7	7.6	5.2	0.5	9.5	55.0

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 10 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM	VICTORIA
OBATANGA	77	0.9	0.6	1.1	-	0.8	-	0.4	0.8	0.1	0.8	0.5	1.2	1.6	0.3	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.0	-	2.3	2.2	5.5	1.0	0.2
	82	1.2	0.5	0.7	0.3	2.4	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.7	1.6	0.5	1.9	0.8	1.4	1.4	0.7	2.2	1.9	8.2	1.5	0.3
WAKAMI LAKE	77	0.6	0.2	0.7	-	1.3	0.2	-	-	-	0.2	0.3	0.4	1.4	0.3	1.5	0.9	1.5	2.6	0.4	1.3	1.2	3.6	0.6	0.5
	82	-	-	-	0.4	2.2	1.1	0.7	-	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	2.9	1.1	3.2	1.4	2.5	2.5	0.4	1.1	2.5	10.8	0.7	1.1
IPPERWASH	77	6.2	2.2	11.4	0.5	29.0	0.7	1.1	1.4	1.6	0.3	0.3	1.9	3.4	0.4	1.1	1.7	0.8	1.5	-	0.3	-	3.5	0.7	0.3
	82	6.2	3.1	7.2	1.6	21.1	0.5	1.0	3.1	6.2	-	-	0.5	5.2	2.6	1.6	3.1	1.0	3.6	0.5	1.0	3.6	0.5	1.0	0.5

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX/ ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING	COCHRANE	MANITOULIN
MTANGA	77	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.1	-	0.2	0.2	-	0.3	0.2	0.2	1.8	0.3	-	-	0.5	0.2	-	1.1	1.3	1.7	0.3	2.0	0.2
	82	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.1	-	0.4	0.7	0.1	0.5	0.3	-	1.6	-	-	-	0.3	-	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.4
KAMI KE	77	0.1	0.1	0.6	-	-	0.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	0.3	-	7.7	-	0.9	-
	82	-	-	0.7	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	0.4	6.8	0.4	2.2	-
PPERWASH	77	-	0.1	0.1	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	-	-	-	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.3
	82	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-	1.6	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	-	-

77 - 82
CAMPERS
- 12 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
OBATANGA	77	8.0	2.6	0.3	0.4
	82	8.6	3.2	0.4	0.1
WAKAMI LAKE	77	7.1	1.1	-	-
	82	11.8	-	-	-
IPPERWASH	77	0.6	0.1	-	-
	82	1.0	0.5	-	-

78 - 82 CAMPERS
- 1 -

		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE								AGE DISTRIBUTION				
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	61.5	27.3	0.7	8.4	1.7	0.3	1.4	29.7	14.5	30.4	13.1	6.0	1.8	3.2	28.9	16.2	40.3	12.4	2.3
	82	57.2	33.3	0.0	7.2	0.9	1.4	0.9	35.9	12.3	26.8	13.6	5.5	1.8	3.2	32.5	12.9	41.0	11.9	1.7
CALIPER LAKE	78	55.5	34.2	0.3	7.5	1.8	0.8	2.0	39.0	18.5	20.3	10.9	5.6	1.8	2.0	25.0	17.7	31.3	18.9	7.1
	82	50.5	34.5	0.5	9.7	4.3	0.7	3.2	38.5	9.8	26.3	9.8	6.1	2.0	4.3	29.1	14.8	35.5	16.8	3.8
LAKE NIPIGON	78	50.2	41.7	0.0	4.1	3.1	0.8	3.1	46.9	13.1	19.7	9.8	3.7	1.7	2.0	24.0	15.0	38.7	17.1	5.2
	82	40.6	44.4	0.0	7.5	7.5	0.0	5.4	50.4	12.1	21.7	7.9	1.7	0.4	0.4	23.0	13.4	38.3	20.3	5.1

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 2 -

		CAMPING EQUIPMENT									ORIGIN				CAMPING ROLE OF PARK					
		TENT	TENT TRAILER	TRAVEL TRAILER	DINING SHELTER	STOVE	TRUCK CAMPER	VAN	MOTOR HOME	OTHER	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER	WEEKEND	VACATION	OTHER	MAIN DESTINATION	ONE OF SEVERAL DESTINATIONS	STOPOVER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	50.2	32.9	15.7	15.3	69.3	3.6	7.5	2.9	1.4	83.3	6.3	8.7	1.7	39.0	57.8	3.2	67.1	18.6	14.1
	82	51.4	33.3	14.9	0.9	67.1	6.3	6.8	0.9	0.5	80.1	11.4	7.3	1.4	31.1	62.2	6.8	65.3	18.0	16.7
CALIPER LAKE	78	31.7	22.8	18.5	8.0	48.6	14.6	10.2	11.0	0.8	26.4	28.9	44.0	0.8	16.2	80.5	3.3	23.9	29.6	46.5
	82	35.3	19.6	17.1	0.2	57.5	9.7	13.9	9.4	1.8	30.7	31.6	36.0	1.6	13.4	77.7	8.9	23.8	28.5	47.7
LAKE NIPIGON	78	42.6	18.6	15.0	7.9	56.3	15.8	12.4	6.6	0.9	52.4	8.7	37.8	1.1	9.4	87.2	3.4	15.8	42.4	41.8
	82	47.1	15.0	10.8	0.8	58.7	8.7	11.2	10.4	0.4	47.8	13.0	37.2	2.1	8.0	85.3	6.7	15.6	42.6	41.8

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 3 -

		SEX DISTRIBUTION		PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		VISITED LAST YEAR		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		PERMIT RENEWAL		NUMBER OF RENEWALS						
		M	F	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	1	2	3	4	5	6	7+
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	50.8	49.2	50.2	49.8	53.4	46.6	43.3	56.7	51.9	48.1	57.7	15.7	14.2	6.0	2.2	2.2	2.2
	82	52.0	48.0	52.3	47.7	69.0	31.0	90.9	9.1	38.0	62.0	53.8	24.4	11.5	6.4	2.6	1.3	0.0
CALIPER LAKE	78	51.1	48.9	21.4	78.6	13.1	86.9	11.6	88.4	27.9	72.1	61.2	19.4	9.7	2.9	0.0	1.0	5.8
	82	52.4	47.6	25.1	74.9	49.6	50.4	86.8	13.2	28.2	71.8	64.9	21.6	5.4	4.5	1.8	0.9	0.9
LAKE NIPIGON	78	51.7	48.3	17.8	82.2	16.0	84.0	15.3	84.7	25.2	74.8	63.4	21.8	4.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	6.0
	82	53.0	47.0	14.8	85.2	21.4	78.6	19.7	8.3	22.1	77.9	76.7	14.0	2.3	2.3	4.7	0.0	0.0

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 4 -

PARTICIPATION RATES

		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKING	BIKE	CASUAL PLAY	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINT	GUIDED WALK	DISPLAYS	STAFF RECREATION	PHOTO	STAFF PRESENT.	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	29.0	93.4	15.1	35.7	35.3	58.8	11.8	54.8	2.6	80.5	40.8	8.1	26.1	4.0	20.2	30.1	15.2
	82	33.2	80.6	14.2	38.9	41.7	59.2	16.1	54.5	3.3	80.1	45.5	10.9	30.3	13.3	34.1	29.4	137.0
CALIPER LAKE	78	25.9	45.8	12.9	14.8	32.6	41.5	5.1	28.8	23.2	78.7	23.5	1.3	8.4	0.5	19.9	3.5	14.5
	82	27.4	48.5	13.3	12.6	67.3	34.3	7.8	29.4	24.4	66.0	20.5	2.5	3.2	3.2	23.4	1.8	89.7
LAKE NIPIGON	78	21.6	40.5	6.8	11.9	29.1	62.4	4.1	30.0	3.8	76.4	61.0	1.8	32.7	1.4	26.8	24.5	24.3
	82	18.4	33.5	7.1	13.8	67.6	41.4	7.1	15.9	2.1	67.4	51.9	3.3	24.3	2.9	32.6	1.7	77.8

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 5 -

		ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME DOING											
		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISHING	HIKE	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEWPOINTS	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	0.8	30.5	1.3	11.0	6.8	7.6	0.4	0.4	1.7	29.2	0.4	0.0
	82	0.0	27.9	2.2	7.1	6.0	8.7	1.6	1.1	2.7	19.1	1.1	22.4
CALIPER LAKE	78	0.7	9.3	0.0	2.3	21.7	7.0	0.7	2.0	3.3	42.0	0.0	0.3
	82	0.6	10.5	0.6	3.8	11.0	6.7	2.0	0.6	2.6	36.9	0.9	23.7
LAKE NIPIGON	78	1.1	4.0	0.9	1.7	12.4	17.2	1.7	3.2	6.9	39.7	2.3	0.6
	82	0.6	5.2	1.7	4.0	8.6	21.8	1.1	3.4	2.3	33.3	3.4	14.2

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 6 -

		EXPENDITURES WITHIN 25 MILES OF PARK			
		FUEL & TRANSPORT	FOOD & DRINK	ENTERTAINMENT	MISCELLANEOUS
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	6.53/38.78 = 20.0%	21.11/38.78 = 50.0%	3.68/38.78 = 10.0%	20.0%
	82	16.48/76.83 = 20.0%	36.32/76.83 = 50.0%	7.40/76.83 = 10.0%	16.86/76.83 = 20.0%
CALIPER LAKE	78	5.17/20.22 = 30.0%	9.02/20.22 = 40.0%	.91/20.22 = 5.0%	25.0%
	82	21.47/61.98 = 35.0%	22.54/61.98 = 36.0%	5.31/61.98 = 9.0%	12.66/61.98 = 20.0%
LAKE NIPIGON	78	illegible	8.63/18.00 = 48.0%	.30/18.00 = 2.0%	11.0%
	82	illegible	14.60/47.46 = 31.0%	6.13/47.46 = 13.0%	5.96/47.46 = 13.0%

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 7 -

		REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		CLOSE	CONVENIENT STOP	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN	BEACH/ SWIM	AQUATIC RECREATION	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	13.3	7.5	9.8	1.4	2.5	4.1	7.8	14.9	10.2	9.4	8.8	3.9	16.2
	82	7.8	8.8	8.8	2.1	3.2	5.6	5.1	5.5	5.4	7.5	16.6	3.8	21.7
CALIPER LAKE	78	4.3	25.4	8.4	1.3	5.4	6.3	11.6	1.6	1.4	5.5	4.5	3.6	20.8
	82	4.8	26.5	8.2	1.3	3.2	3.6	7.7	0.4	5.5	3.4	12.5	3.6	19.4
LAKE NIPIGON	78	1.2	22.6	8.3	0.2	6.7	4.1	24.4	0.6	1.1	6.2	1.1	6.5	17.0
	82	1.4	32.6	9.3	0.0	4.7	4.3	15.4	0.0	1.4	6.8	2.9	3.2	17.9

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 8 -

		REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT									
		QUIET	CLEAN	WASHROOMS	STAFF	NATURAL SETTING	SWIMMING	OTHER AQUATICS	CONVENIENT FACILITIES	CAMPSITES	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	15.1	4.3	4.4	2.3	20.5	12.6	11.0	1.4	10.3	22.4
	82	14.5	6.5	3.4	4.2	8.3	11.7	2.9	1.3	6.0	30.5
CALIPER LAKE	78	21.8	6.0	3.1	1.2	29.9	21.8	6.0	3.5	9.9	15.3
	82	24.7	5.4	6.2	3.6	18.8	3.5	6.9	3.6	10.4	16.5
LAKE NIPIGON	78	20.9	2.7	1.9	3.7	29.5	20.9	2.7	1.1	12.9	14.6
	82	24.0	6.3	7.9	0.3	20.8	5.2	5.5	3.6	6.6	10.3

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 0 -

		RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS													
		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOATING	TRAILS	RECREATIONAL PROGRAMMES	INTERPRETIVE	INFORMATION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITATION	CAMPSITES	OTHER FACILITIES	CONCESSIONS	OTHER
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	4.9	1.6	1.6	3.0	3.8	9.0	4.7	2.7	1.6	20.5	9.3	0.3	0.3	36.4
	82	11.1	1.3	1.3	3.5	3.1	8.0	0.4	1.8	1.3	4.4	7.5	4.0	0.9	51.3
CALIPER LAKE	78	15.8	0.8	2.2	3.0	6.5	2.4	4.9	3.3	1.1	11.1	15.8	0.0	0.3	36.7
	82	11.2	2.6	4.0	4.6	3.2	3.2	1.4	3.5	0.6	13.3	6.1	11.2	1.4	33.7
LAKE NIPIGON	78	13.5	1.3	2.7	4.2	5.1	4.6	7.5	1.8	2.9	22.1	13.5	0.7	0.0	24.3
	82	14.0	0.0	1.7	5.2	8.1	2.3	5.8	5.2	1.7	6.4	4.7	8.1	0.6	36.1

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 10 -

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	0.3	0.3	0.3	-	1.4	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.3	1.0	1.7	0.7	1.7	0.3	0.3	1.4	5.2	2.8
	82	-	0.5	0.9	-	1.8	-	0.9	-	-	0.5	-	2.7	2.7	0.5	1.4	-	0.5	1.8	-	0.9	0.5	9.0	1.8
CALIPER LAKE	78	0.3	0.3	0.5	-	0.8	-	0.7	0.5	-	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	-	-	0.8	1.0	2.0	0.3
	82	0.5	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.5	0.2	1.4	0.2	1.4	0.5	0.7	0.2	-	-	0.2	2.7	0.5
LAKE NIPIGON	78	-	-	0.4	0.4	0.9	-	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.9	1.3	0.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.1	-	1.7	1.7	6.3	1.3
	82	1.3	-	-	-	0.4	0.4	1.3	-	-	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.4	2.1	2.9	2.1	0.8	-	2.5	0.8	5.8	0.4

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		VICTORIA	MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBERLAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX-ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSEL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING
HARLESTON AKE	78	-	-	-	0.3	-	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.7	11.8	12.9	1.4	22.6	2.1	1.0	1.4	0.7	-	-	0.7	0.3	0.7	-
	82	-	-	-	0.9	0.9	-	2.7	0.5	2.3	9.0	8.1	1.4	19.8	0.9	3.2	2.3	-	-	0.5	0.5	-	-	-
ALIPER AKE	78	-	0.5	-	0.3	-	-	0.5	0.5	-	0.3	-	-	1.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.3	0.3	0.5	-
	82	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.5	-	-	0.5	0.2	-	0.9	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	0.5	0.5
AKE IPIGON	78	-	0.7	0.2	0.2	-	-	0.4	0.7	-	0.7	-	0.2	3.9	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7	-	-	0.4	0.2	1.3	0.9
	82	1.3	0.4	0.4	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	1.3	1.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.8	-	-	0.8

78 - 82
CAMPERS
- 12-

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY (CON'T)

		COCHRANE	MANITOULIN	ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
CHARLESTON LAKE	78	-	0.3	0.7	-	-	-
	82	-	-	-	-	-	0.5
CALIPER LAKE	78	0.3	-	0.3	2.3	8.3	1.8
	82	-	-	1.1	2.5	11.7	1.6
LAKE NIPIGON	78	2.8	0.2	0.9	11.4	0.2	0.9
	82	3.3	-	0.8	10.8	-	1.3

- 82 ERS 1 -		TYPE OF PARTY						PARTY SIZE								AGE DISTRIBUTION					SEX DISTRIBUTION	
		FAMILY	COUPLE	GROUP	FRIENDS	SINGLE	OTHER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	1-14	15-24	25-44	45-64	65+	M	F
S	79	53.3	37.1	0.4	4.9	2.8	1.3	2.6	41.4	12.3	24.7	12.3	5.4	1.1	0.2	30.2	13.1	35.6	16.6	4.4	50.5	49.5
	82	47.1	43.6	0.0	4.9	2.0	2.4	2.0	47.2	12.8	23.6	7.2	2.8	2.0	2.2	26.1	12.1	37.8	18.6	5.3	52.1	47.9
LAYSON NT	79	58.3	31.2	0.3	5.1	4.0	1.1	3.0	37.1	14.6	27.5	11.0	5.5	0.5	0.8	29.3	16.7	35.2	15.9	2.9	55.0	45.0
	82	48.7	33.9	0.9	12.9	2.7	0.9	2.8	39.4	12.8	22.5	7.8	7.3	1.4	6.0	27.7	13.6	36.8	19.2	2.7	53.5	46.5

		PREVIOUS VISIT TO PARK		VISITED LAST YEAR		CAMP IN PARK LAST YEAR		RENEW CAMP PERMIT		NUMBER OF RENEWALS							TYPE OF TRIP		
		YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO	1	2	3	4	5	6	7+	WEEKEND	VACATION	OTHER
NEYS	79	21.5	78.5	7.7	92.3	12.7	87.3	19.1	80.9	75.0	8.3	10.2	3.7	0.0	0.9	1.8	5.6	86.6	7.8
	82	24.8	75.2	30.8	69.2	90.5	9.5	26.6	73.4	70.0	18.3	6.7	2.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	3.3	90.2	6.5
FINLAYSON POINT	79	35.1	64.9	19.7	80.3	27.6	72.4	36.6	63.4	59.8	12.5	14.3	5.4	3.6	1.8	2.7	11.5	83.8	4.7
	82	39.2	60.8	61.4	38.6	100.0	0.0	45.3	54.7	56.2	29.2	7.9	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.1	15.2	78.0	6.7

79 - 82
CAMPERS
- 2 -

PARTICIPATION RATES FOR SELECTED ACTIVITIES

		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKE	BIKE	CASUAL PLAY	STAFF PRESENT.	PLAYGROUND	RELAX	VIEWPOINTS	GUIDED WALKS	DISPLAYS	STAFF RECREATION	PHOTO	OTHER
NEYS	79	20.3	35.4	1.1	5.2	11.1	42.8	5.8	31.8	25.1	2.8	63.6	39.5	10.6	18.5	1.4	19.5	0.0
	82	19.9	25.7	2.8	8.6	0.0	38.5	9.4	23.0	26.9	3.4	67.3	42.2	16.5	33.1	9.0	35.1	91.7
FINLAYSON POINT	79	17.7	57.1	26.2	16.6	42.5	25.9	7.4	31.9	21.3	16.6	71.1	26.7	1.4	11.4	1.1	13.7	0.0
	82	25.4	57.4	33.5	23.0	0.0	25.4	7.7	33.0	20.6	22.0	79.9	31.1	1.4	23.4	6.2	25.4	123.0

ACTIVITY SPENT MOST TIME AT

		PICNIC	SWIM	M. BOAT	CANOE	FISH	HIKE	BIKE	PHOTO	CASUAL PLAY	RELAX	VIEW-POINTS	OTHER
EYS	79	1.4	5.8	0.2	1.0	1.9	19.6	0.2	1.9	6.8	37.2	3.7	1.6
	82	0.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.7	15.2	1.9	3.4	3.5	26.7	3.4	43.6
'INLAYSON 'OINT	79	1.0	16.8	4.1	5.8	17.9	3.4	1.4	0.3	3.8	35.4	0.7	0.7
	82	0.5	10.3	5.4	7.6	16.8	2.7	0.0	1.1	1.6	27.7	0.5	25.5

CAMPING EQUIPMENT USED

		TENT	TENT TRAILER	TRAVEL TRAILER	DINING SHELTER	STOVE	TRUCK CAMPER	VAN	MOTOR HOME	OTHER
EYS	79	34.8	19.0	19.8	3.6	48.7	8.3	12.5	12.1	1.6
	82	36.5	17.2	16.6	0.0	54.7	8.0	9.3	10.6	2.2
'INLAYSON 'OINT	79	36.6	25.8	15.7	9.6	56.9	13.2	10.8	4.6	1.3
	82	42.4	21.0	15.2	0.0	69.6	8.5	7.1	5.8	2.2

79 - 82 CAMPERS - 3 -		CAMPING ROLE			ORIGIN				REASON FOR CHOOSING PARK												
		MAIN DESTINATION	ONE OF SEVERAL DESTINATIONS	STOPOVER	ONTARIO	OTHER CANADA	U. S. A.	OTHER	CLOSE	CONVENIENT	RECOMMENDED	VISITING FRIENDS	GENERAL INTEREST	PAST EXPERIENCE	NATURAL SETTING	CLEAN	BEACH	WATER ACTIVITIES	OTHER RECREATION	QUIET	OTHER
NEYS	79	7.2	21.3	71.6	49.6	20.2	28.6	0.3	4.1	31.1	12.4	0.1	2.9	5.5	11.0	2.5	2.6	0.5	2.3	2.0	23.0
	82	7.5	29.4	63.1	44.1	15.1	36.8	4.1	7.5	26.2	13.0	0.5	2.1	4.9	12.1	0.6	5.5	1.1	14.3	2.0	10.1
FINLAYSON POINT	79	32.1	28.8	39.2	82.6	6.2	9.7	1.6	9.7	25.5	7.2	2.1	6.4	7.8	6.6	3.9	2.9	9.3	0.2	2.9	15.7
	82	41.5	29.5	29.0	85.4	3.7	8.7	2.3	7.0	15.9	5.6	3.0	3.3	4.3	6.3	2.3	4.0	14.2	6.6	4.3	23.1

		EXPENDITURES WITHIN 25 MILES OF PARK			
		FUEL & TRANSPORTATION	FOOD & DRINK	ENTERTAINMENT	MISCELLANEOUS
NEYS	79	5.78/15.37 = 37.6%	8.09/15.37 = 52.6%	0.24/15.37 = 1.6%	8.2%
	82	26.26/71.14 = 36.9%	17.94/71.14 = 25.2%	3.18/71.14 = 4.5%	23.83/71.14 = 33.5%
FINLAYSON POINT	79	16.48/46.26 = 35.6%	15.03/46.26 = 32.5%	3.73/46.26 = 8.1%	23.8%
	82	22.01/94.69 = 23/2%	43.39/94.69 = 45.8%	7.28/94.69 = 7.7%	22.01/94.69 = 23.2%

79 - 82
CAMPERS
- 4 -

REASONS FOR ENJOYMENT OF VISIT

		QUIET	FRIENDLY ATMOSPHERE	CLEAN	STAFF	NATURAL SETTING	BEACH/SWIM	WATER ACTIVITIES	FACILITIES	SHOWERS	WASHROOMS	CAMPSITES	OTHER
NEYS	79	11.8	1.6	4.1	4.9	26.9	7.3	0.6	5.8	1.5	1.8	16.4	17.3
	82	12.2	0.5	4.8	3.4	20.6	8.7	14.9	3.0	3.8	1.2	11.4	10.6
FINLAYSON POINT	79	18.5	4.9	7.0	4.2	21.6	5.9	7.1	3.8	0.0	1.8	10.6	14.5
	82	13.1	2.6	5.4	6.9	9.7	6.3	4.5	1.7	0.3	2.0	14.0	30.5

RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS

		LEAVE AS IS	PICNIC AREA	BEACH/SWIM	BOATING	TRAILS	RECREATION	INTERPRE-TIVE	INFORMA-TION	NOISE CONTROL	SANITA-TION	OTHER FACILITIES	SHOWERS	CONCES-SIONS	ANIMAL CONTROL	CAMPSITES	FEES/ REGULATIONS	OTHER
NEYS	79	15.3	1.5	1.5	0.5	5.4	2.9	2.3	3.0	2.9	13.9	17.3	12.9	1.0	2.2	2.3	3.5	0.7
	82	19.1	2.0	1.3	2.3	6.9	2.6	1.3	3.0	1.7	11.2	13.9	9.2	1.7	0.3	2.0	11.6	20.8
FINLAYSON POINT	79	6.5	0.5	4.5	1.7	4.0	5.0	4.5	1.2	8.0	10.7	10.7	18.7	1.7	5.7	4.5	1.0	11.2
	82	3.9	2.4	2.0	1.0	2.4	4.9	3.4	2.0	4.4	5.9	9.3	31.7	1.0	1.0	3.4	2.0	19.5

PERMANENT RESIDENCE BY COUNTY

		ESSEX	KENT	LAMBTON	HURON	MIDDLESEX	ELGIN	HALDIMAND-NORFOLK	OXFORD	PERTH	BRUCE	GREY	WELLINGTON	WATERLOO	BRANT	NIAGARA	HAMILTON-WENTWORTH	HALTON	PEEL	DUFFERIN	SIMCOE	YORK	METRO TORONTO	DURHAM	VICTORIA
NEYS	79	1.3	0.1	1.0	0.4	1.8	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.9	0.1	2.4	0.4	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.1	0.3	0.7	1.2	8.0	1.9	0.3
	82	1.1	0.2	0.4	-	0.9	-	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	1.3	0.9	1.5	0.2	0.9	2.0	2.6	1.6	-	0.9	2.6	5.8	0.7	0.6
FINLAYSON POINT	79	0.8	-	0.5	0.8	2.4	0.5	1.6	1.6	1.1	0.5	1.9	0.8	3.7	0.5	3.2	2.1	4.3	4.5	0.8	2.4	1.6	10.7	2.1	0.5
	82	2.2	0.9	-	-	3.1	-	0.5	1.3	0.5	0.5	-	1.3	2.7	-	4.5	5.4	3.6	4.0	0.9	3.1	6.7	11.2	3.1	0.5

		MUSKOKA	HALIBURTON	PETERBOROUGH	NORTHUMBER-LAND	PRINCE EDWARD	HASTINGS	RENFREW	LENNOX & ADDINGTON	FRONTENAC	LEEDS	LANARK	OTTAWA-CARLETON	GRENVILLE	DUNDAS	STORMONT	RUSSELL	PRESCOTT	GLENGARRY	NIPISSING	PARRY SOUND	SUDBURY	TIMISKAMING	COCHRANE	MANITOULIN
NEYS	79	0.1	0.1	1.3	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	-	2.4	0.1	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	0.3	1.0	-	0.3	0.1
	82	0.6	-	0.6	0.4	-	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.6	1.5	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.4	0.6	0.6	-	1.1	-
FINLAYSON POINT	79	0.5	0.8	0.5	-	0.3	2.1	1.3	-	1.6	0.8	0.3	5.3	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.5	0.3	2.4	1.1	2.7	6.1	2.7	0.3
	82	0.9	0.9	1.3	0.9	-	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.5	-	3.1	-	0.5	0.5	0.9	-	0.5	1.3	0.5	3.1	7.6	0.5	-

		ALGOMA	THUNDER BAY	RAINY RIVER	KENORA
NEYS	79	1.8	6.9	-	-
	82	1.5	7.9	-	0.6
FINLAYSON POINT	79	1.3	1.3	-	0.3
	82	0.5	1.3	-	-

1982

DAY USE SURVEY

USE ONLY

PARK DAY VISITOR SURVEY

HELP PLAN YOUR PARKS — **PLEASE FILL OUT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE**

You have been selected to represent the people who use our Provincial Parks. The information you give here will be used to help improve our parks and provide the kinds of activities and environments you enjoy.

IT WILL TAKE ONLY A COUPLE OF MINUTES. PLEASE FILL OUT EVERY QUESTION CAREFULLY AND LEAVE THE QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE BOX NEAR THE PARK EXIT.

1. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES YOUR GROUP? (Check ✓ one)

- ☐ Family group
- ☐ Organized group (troop, team, club, etc.)
- ☐ One couple only
- ☐ Group of friends
- ☐ Other, please specify _____

2. HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN YOUR GROUP? Specify number _____

3. PLEASE INDICATE THE AGES FOR ALL MEMBERS OF YOUR GROUP.

	Ages		Ages
Male		Female	

4. HAVE YOU VISITED THIS PARK BEFORE? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, did you visit this park last year? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many days did you visit this park last year? _____ Specify number

If yes, did you camp here last year? ☐ Yes ☐ No

5. WHY DID YOU CHOOSE TO VISIT THIS PARK RATHER THAN A DIFFERENT ONE?

_____

_____

_____

6. WHERE IS YOUR PERMANENT RESIDENCE LOCATED?

Nearest Village, Town, or City	County, District or Regional Municipality	Province or State

7. WHAT TYPE OF ACCOMMODATION ARE YOU USING ON THIS PARK VISIT?

- ☐ None
- ☐ Provincial Park Campsite
- ☐ Hotel, Motel
- ☐ Relatives, Friends Residence
- ☐ Resort, Lodge
- ☐ Cottage, Cabins
- ☐ Other, Please specify _____

DO NOT FILL OUT THE REMAINING QUESTIONS UNTIL JUST BEFORE LEAVING THE PARK. ENJOY YOUR VISIT AND DO NOT FORGET TO LEAVE THE QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE BOX PROVIDED NEAR THE PARK EXIT.

8. HOW MANY HOURS DID YOU SPEND IN THIS PARK TODAY?

Please specify to the nearest 1/2 hour. Number of Hours_____

57 58 59 6

o a) IN ORDER TO FIND OUT WHAT ACTIVITIES PEOPLE DO IN PARKS, ONE PERSON IS TO BE SELECTED FROM YOUR GROUP AND ASKED TO INDICATE THE ACTIVITIES HE OR SHE DID WHILE IN THE PARK.
PLEASE CHECK THOSE ACTIVITIES DONE IN THE PARK BY THE GROUP MEMBER WHOSE AGE IS CLOSEST TO YEARS OF AGE.

- ☐ Picnicking
- ☐ Sunbathing
- ☐ Swimming, wading
- ☐ Motorboating
- ☐ Canoeing
- ☐ Fishing
- ☐ Trail hiking (non-guided)
- ☐ Bicycling
- ☐ Walking, jogging
- ☐ Casual play (e.g. throwing frisbee, football, etc.)
- ☐ Viewing or photographing plants, animals, etc. for a hobby
- ☐ Using playground facilities
- ☐ Relaxing (sitting around, reading a book, etc.)
- ☐ Visiting viewpoints/scenic lookouts
- ☐ Going on walks conducted by park guide
- ☐ Viewing historical or natural displays
- ☐ Staff-organized recreation (e.g. campfires, baseball)
- ☐ Going to talks/slide presentations by park staff
- ☐ Other, please specify_____

62 64 66 68 70 72 74 76 7

01

2 0

09

b) PLEASE SPECIFY AGE AND SEX OF SELECTED PERSON.

_____ Years ☐ Male ☐ Female

10 11 12

13 14

c) WHICH ACTIVITY DID THIS PERSON SPEND THE MOST TIME DOING?

(Write in activity) _____

15

). IF POSSIBLE, PLEASE ESTIMATE YOUR PARTY'S TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ONTARIO FOR THE FOLLOWING:

	$ Spent In Park Vicinity (see below)*	$ Spent at Home-enroute to Park	Estimate Expenditures for Return Trip Home
iel and Transportation			
Food and Drink			
:tractions and Entertainment			
Miscellaneous (e.g. :commodation)			

1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 5 5 5 5

* Trip-related expenditures within 25 miles (40 km) of park. If you live in defined area, include home/enroute expenditures in first column only.

11. WHEN DID YOU DECIDE TO MAKE THIS PARTICULAR VISIT TO THE PARK?

- ☐ Less than 24 hours ago
- ☐ 24-48 hours ago
- ☐ 2-7 days ago
- ☐ 1-4 weeks ago
- ☐ 1-3 months ago
- ☐ Over 3 Months ago

☐ 51

12. HOW DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT THIS PARK?

- ☐ Magazine Article/Advertisement
- ☐ Newspaper Article/Advertisement
- ☐ Radio Advertisement
- ☐ Television Advertisement
- ☐ Parks/Tourism Travel Counsel Service
- ☐ Friend/Relative
- ☐ Park Publication
- ☐ Other, please specify_____

☐ 52

13. HOW HAVE THE RECENT GAS PRICE INCREASES AFFECTED YOUR USE OF PROVINCIAL PARKS?

_____

_____

_____

☐☐ 53 54

14. a) IF YOU HAVE VISITED a Provincial Park outside of the regular summer operating season, what activities did you do in the Park?

(Write in activities)_____

_____

☐☐ 55 56

b) IF YOU HAVE NOT VISITED a Provincial Park outside of the regular summer operating season, what opportunities or activities might lead you to visit in the off-season?

Please specify: _____

_____

☐☐ 57 58

15. PLEASE INDICATE WHAT YOU ENJOYED MOST ABOUT TODAY'S VISIT TO THE PARK.

_____

_____

_____

☐☐ 60
☐☐ 62
☐☐ 6

16. PLEASE INDICATE WHAT COULD BE DONE TO MAKE A DAY'S VISIT TO THE PARK MORE INTERESTING AND ENJOYABLE.

_____

_____

_____

_____

☐☐ 6
☐☐ 68
☐☐ 7

1982

CAMPER SURVEY

PARK CAMPER SURVEY

OFFICE USE ONLY

HELP PLAN YOUR PARKS

PLEASE FILL OUT THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ONLY IF YOUR ARE CAMPING OVERNIGHT IN THIS PARK.

You have been selected to represent the people who use our Provincial Parks. The information you give here will be used to help improve our parks and provide the kinds of activities and environments you enjoy.

IT WILL TAKE ONLY A COUPLE OF MINUTES. PLEASE FILL OUT EVERY QUESTION CAREFULLY AND LEAVE THE QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE BOX NEAR THE PARK EXIT.

01

1

09

1. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES YOUR GROUP? (Check ✓ one)

10

- ☐ Family group
- ☐ Organized group (troop, team, club, etc.)
- ☐ One couple only
- ☐ Group of friends
- ☐ Other, please specify _____

2. HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN YOUR GROUP? Specify number _____ 12

3. PLEASE INDICATE THE AGES FOR ALL MEMBERS OF YOUR GROUP.

	Ages		Ages
Male		Female	

39

4. HAVE YOU VISITED THIS PARK BEFO[illegible]? ☐ Yes ☐ No 40

If yes, did you visit this park last year? ☐ Yes ☐ No 41

If yes, how many days did you visit this park last year? _____ Specify number

If yes, did you camp here last year? ☐ Yes ☐ No 44

5. WHY DID YOU CHOOSE TO CAMP IN THIS PARK RATHER THAN A DIFFERENT ONE?

_____ 46

_____ 48

_____ 50

6. WHERE IS YOUR PERMANENT RESIDENCE LOCATED? 51 5-

Nearest Village, Town, or City	County, District or Regional Municipality	Province or State

55

7. WITH RESPECT TO THIS TRIP FROM HOME, WOULD YOU CONSIDER THIS PARK TO BE

- ☐ The main destination of this trip?
- ☐ One of several destinations?
- ☐ Just a stopover enroute?

56

WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING BEST DESCRIBES THIS TRIP FROM YOUR HOME?

☐ Weekend Trip ☐ Vacation trip ☐ Other, please specify_____ ☐ 57

DO NOT FILL OUT THE REMAINING QUESTIONS UNTIL JUST BEFORE LEAVING THE PARK. ENJOY YOUR VISIT AND DO NOT FORGET TO LEAVE THE QUESTIONNAIRE IN THE BOX PROVIDED NEAR THE PARK EXIT.

HOW MANY NIGHTS DID YOU STAY IN THE PARK THIS VISIT? _____ nights ☐☐ 58 59

). DID YOU RENEW YOUR CAMPSITE PERMIT DURING YOUR VISIT? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐☐ 61 62

If yes, how many times did you renew? Specify number_____

11. a) IN ORDER TO FIND OUT WHAT ACTIVITIES PEOPLE DO IN PARKS, ONE PERSON IS TO BE SELECTED FROM YOUR GROUP AND ASKED TO INDICATE THE ACTIVITIES HE OR SHE DID WHILE IN THE PARK.
PLEASE CHECK THOSE ACTIVITES DONE IN THE PARK BY THE GROUP MEMBER WHOSE AGE IS CLOSEST TO _____ YEARS OF AGE.

☐ Picnicking
☐ Sunbathing
☐ Swimming, wading
☐ Motorboating
☐ Canoeing
☐ Trail hiking (non-guided)
☐ Bicycling
☐ Walking, jogging
☐ Casual play (e.g. throwing frisbee, football, etc.)
☐ Viewing or photographing plants, animals, etc. for a hobby
☐ Using playground facilities
☐ Relaxing (sitting around, reading a book, etc.)
☐ Visiting viewpoints/scenic lookouts
☐ Going on walks conducted by park guide
☐ Viewing historical or natural displays
☐ Staff-organized recreation (e.g. campfires, baseball)
☐ Going to talks/slide presentations by park staff
☐ Other, please specify _____

☐☐ 64
☐☐ 66
☐☐ 68
☐☐ 70
☐☐ 72
☐☐ 74
☐☐ 76
☐☐ 78
☐

☐☐☐ 01

☐☐☐ 09

b) PLEASE SPECIFY AGE AND SEX OF SELECTED PERSON.

☐☐ 10 11

_____Years ☐ Male ☐ Female

☐☐☐ 1

c) WHICH ACTIVITY DID THIS PERSON SPEND THE MOST TIME DOING?

(Write in activity)_____

☐☐ 15 16

. IF POSSIBLE, PLEASE ESTIMATE YOUR PARTY'S TRIP RELATED EXPENDITURES IN ONTARIO FOR THE FOLLOWING:

	$ Spent in Park Vicinity (see below)*	$ Spent at Home-enroute to Park	Estimate Expenditures for Return Trip Home
el and Transportation			
ood and Drink			
tractions and Entertainment			
scellaneous (e.g. accommodation)			

☐☐☐ 1
☐☐☐ 2
☐☐☐ 2
☐☐☐ 2
☐☐☐ 3
☐☐☐ 3
☐☐☐ 3
☐☐☐ 4
☐☐☐ 4
☐☐☐ 4
☐☐☐ 4
☐☐☐ 5

Trip-related expenditures within 25 miles (40 km) of park. If you live in defined area, include home/enroute expenditures in first column only.

13. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING PIECES OF CAMPING EQUIPMENT ARE YOU USING ON THIS VISIT?

- ☐ Tent (number if more than one ____)
- ☐ Tent trailer
- ☐ Travel trailer (length _____ feet)
- ☐ Dining shelter (number if more than one ____)
- ☐ Personal stove (e.g. naptha stove, stove in camper, trailer, etc.)
- ☐ Truck camper
- ☐ Van
- ☐ Self-propelled motor home
- ☐ Other Shelter, please specify _____

53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63

14. WHEN DID YOU DECIDE TO MAKE THIS PARTICULAR VISIT TO THE PARK?

- ☐ Less than 24 hours ago
- ☐ 24-48 hours ago
- ☐ 2-7 days ago
- ☐ 1-4 weeks ago
- ☐ 1-3 months ago
- ☐ Over 3 Months ago

64

15. HOW DID YOU FIRST HEAR ABOUT THIS PARK?

- ☐ Magazine Article/Advertisement
- ☐ Newspaper Article/Advertisement
- ☐ Radio Advertisement
- ☐ Television Advertisement
- ☐ Parks/Tourism Travel Counsel Service
- ☐ Friend/Relative
- ☐ Park Publication
- ☐ Other, please specify _____

65

16. HOW HAVE THE RECENT GAS PRICE INCREASES AFFECTED YOU USE OF PROVINCIAL PARKS?

_____

_____

_____

66 67

17. a) IF YOU HAVE VISITED a Provincial Park outside of the regular summer operating season, what activities did you do in the Park?

(Write in activities) _____

_____

68 69

b) IF YOU HAVE NOT VISITED a Provincial Park outside of the regular summer operating season, what opportunities or activities might lead you to visit in the off-season?

Please specify: _____

_____

70 71

18. PLEASE INDICATE WHAT YOU ENJOYED MOST ABOUT YOUR VISIT TO THIS PARK.

_____

_____

_____

73 7 77